

South Innisfil Creek Drain Public Information Centre

Friday, February 23, 2017 4:00 – 7:00 p.m.

Town Hall, Community Room 2101 Innisfil Beach Road Innisfil ON L9S 1A1

R.J. BURNSIDE & ASSOCIATES LIMITED







Municipal Drain Process









Existing Drain Capacity

The drain cross section is narrower at the downstream end than it is at the upstream end; this causes a "funnel effect".

Relative Channel Capacity (for representative purposes only)

Market Garden Area

Upstream of Hwy. 400

Downstream of Hwy. 400









 227
 226
225
 224
223
00.02

	228
 _	227
 	226
 _	225
 _	224
	223
00.00	00.07

Burnside 2-Year Peak Flow Summary Table

FLOW NODE	FLOW NODE DESCRIPTION	2-Year
		m3/s
	TOTAL FLOW AT THE 5TH LINE	3.86
	TOTAL FLOW AT THE 4TH LINE	5.44
TLN3ND	TOTAL FLOW WITHIN 3RD LINE NORTH DITCH	0.46
TLN3SD	TOTAL FLOW WITHIN 3RD LINE SOUTH DITCH	1.48
TLN3US	TOTAL FLOW U/S OF THE 3RD LINE	3.17
TFN3A	OUTLET TO 3RD LINE (MAIN DRAIN)	8.35
TMID32	TOTAL FLOW AT CONFLUENCE BETWEEN 3RD LINE AND 2ND LINE	8.77
TFN2U	TOTAL FLOW UPSTREAM OF THE 2ND LINE	10.71
TFN2D	TOTAL FLOW DOWNSTREAM OF THE 2ND LINE	11.51
GOLFE	TOTAL FLOW TO FLOW NODE GOLFE (GOLF COURSE EAST)	11.12
GOLFN	TOTAL FLOW TO FLOW NODE GOLFN (GOLF COURSE NORTH)	2.49
TGOLF	TOTAL FLOWS FROM GOLF NORTH AND GOLF EAST	13.43
HYND	FLOWS FROM HNYDCZAK DRAIN UPSTREAM OF HWY 400	1.79
HWY400	TOTAL FLOW AT HWY 400	13.64
TFN15L	TOTAL FLOW AT THE 15TH LINE	12.89



BURNSIDE

Peak Flow Summary Tables

Peak Flow Summary Comparison Table at Highway 400

Return Interval	RJB	Dillon (Pre)	Dillon (Post)	AECOM	MacLaren (NVCA)	L
	m3/s	m3/s	m3/s	m3/s	m3/s	
2-Year	13.64	27.19	26.85	-	-	
5-Year	26.87	66.5	65.37	12.6	8.3	
10-Year	39.41	94.24	92.7	15.6	10.3	
25-Year	61.56	127.67	125.54	18.6	12.3	
50-Year	77.7	_	_	22.8	15.1	
100-Year	93.92	189.1	186.25	26.1	17.3	
Regional	290.47	_	_	81.9	70.3	











BURNSIDE

Elevation (m)

HEC-RAS Hydraulic Model – Proposed Drain Profile Ultimate Condition



Main Channel Distance (m)







HEC-RAS Hydraulic Model – Proposed Drain Profile With and Without Highway 400 Crossing Replacements

Proposed Innisfil Creek

Main Channel Distance (m)

The Highway 400 crossings have been observed to restrict the 2-year peak flows and increase water surface elevations approximately 4300m upstream of the crossings to the 3rd Line



- upstream and past the 3rd Line.
- capacity in the upstream channel.

- to the South Innisfil Creek Drain.



Hwy. 400 Crossing

• The existing Hwy. 400 crossing (culverts) cause approx. 1.0 m of backwater upstream of Hwy. 400; this extends approx. 4,300 m

• The culvert inverts (bottoms) need to be lowered and the diameters enlarged to allow for better conveyance of flow(s) and increased

• The MTO Design Criteria for a highway crossing is much greater than the typical Design Standard for an open municipal drain.

 Studies prepared for MTO indicate that the South Innisfil Creek Drain Hwy. 400 culverts (i.e. Culvert 44) need to be replaced.

• There will quite likely be timing differences between the MTO scheduled culvert replacements and the scheduled improvements











Communications and Discussions with MTO to date:

- 400/89 Interchange (2018 2019 construction).
- the South Innisfil Creek Drain Hwy. 400 crossing culverts.
- Correspondence to MTO to date: – October 18, 2017 – Follow up on MTO's position.



Hwy. 400 Crossing (continued)

• Meeting on March 27, 2017 with MTO Staff - MTO staff were informed that the culverts need to be replaced. • Meeting on June 23, 2017 with Morrison Hershfield, Consultant to MTO for Interim Improvements of Hwy

• Effort is being made to have the MTO construction limits for the Interim Improvements expanded to include

– June 23, 2017 – Confirmed existing culvert crossings need to be replaced and lowered; – July 27, 2017 – Provided the proposed invert elevation for the new culvert crossing(s); and

 Comments on the Environmental Assessment Addendum made by the Town of Innisfil. Comments on the Environmental Assessment Addendum made by Burnside.

• Town of Innisfil is lobbying MTO for an earlier replacement of Hwy. 400 culvert crossings.





Background Aquatic Ecological Information Review

- drains are generally more sensitive to disturbances.
- Rainbow Trout (steelhead), Longnose Dace and Mottled Sculpin.
- Highway 89 it is a cool-water watercourse.
- stream health is poor and declining.
- \bullet Endangered Species Act (ESA).
- Innisfil Creek Drain watershed (i.e. the Bobolink).
- the species.



 The South Innisfil Creek Drain is a D-Rated Municipal Drain upstream of 4th Line. Downstream of the 4th Line it is unrated. Fish communities found in Class 'D'

• Sensitive fish species have historically been observed within the Innisfil Creek Drain by the MNRF, NVCA and private consulting firms. These species include: Brook Trout,

• South Innisfil Creek is a cold-water watercourse upstream of Highway 89. Downstream of

 The Nottawasaga Valley Conservation Authority (NVCA) 2013 Innsifil Creek Subwatershed Health Check describes the water quality downstream of 3rd Line as Impaired. Upstream of 3rd Line it is "below potential" then becoming "unimpaired." Overall

 Aquatic Species-At-Risk do not inhabit the South Innisfil Creek Drain within the proposed area of the work or downstream, as per 2017 DFO SAR Critical Habitat Mapping. Habitat for regulated terrestrial species (Snapping Turtle and Eastern Musk Turtle) is present within the South Innisfil Creek Drain. These species are not protected under the

• Other Terrestrial Species protected under the ESA are potentially present within the South

Regulated Habitat under the ESA may describe the following: specific features of the area a species depends on; specific geographic boundaries; or areas currently unoccupied by







Existing Aquatic Habitat Conditions and Future Work

- Highway 89.

Burnside will submit a Request for Project Review to the Department of Fisheries and Oceans Canada (DFO) after Burnside has visited and assessed the drain in further detail this spring and the design is finalized. If it is deemed that the project will cause "serious harm" to fish and fish habitat it will require Authorization from DFO.







• October 2017: Burnside Aquatic Ecology staff performed a preliminary assessment of aquatic habitat at road right-of-ways: 4th Line, 3rd Line, 2nd Line, Highway 400, 5th Sideroad and

• From 4th Line downstream to Highway 89, fish habitat exists based on stream permanency, the presence of a defined bed and bank and in-stream cover. The double CSP culverts beneath Reive Boulevard and Highway 400 are perched and restrict the flow of water during storm events and spring run-off.







Low flow channels are a typical requirement in channel design and DFO Approvals

- hopefully reduce future drain maintenance.
- channel conditions.
- (which is required by DFO).





Low Flow Channel

• Low flow channels can be constructed using simple methods that will improve bank stability and

• Low flow channels will confine base flow to maintain thermal stability compared to wide shallow

• Low flow channels, if properly designed, will provide stable conditions to support fish and fish habitat









2-Year Standard Design



Downstream of Highway 400



Existing Channel

0+600.00





Key Plan (Not to Scale)

0+600.00









1+300.00





224

223

222



224

223

222

20.00













Upstream of Hwy. 400



Existing Channel

3+000.00





Key Plan (Not to Scale)









2-Year Standard Design



4+600.00



Positive

- Remove dyke construction
- Reduce pond construction
- Remove deep foundations on farm crossings (anticipate some settlement of crossings)
- Distribute the estimated cost of the project as per the assessment schedule(s) over a larger catchment area
- Increased cost of the Hwy. 400 culvert crossing(s) replacement will be to the MTO (Section 26)
- Increased cost of the Reive Blvd. culvert crossings replacement will be to the Town of Innisfil (Section 26)
- Retain 2nd Line bridge (with some improvements); increased cost to the Town of Innisfil (Section 26)



Factors Affecting Project Cost

These factors may affect the overall project cost and/or individual property assessments compared to Dillon Consulting's 2013 report on the South Innisfil Creek Drain.

Negative

- struts or a slab

Inflation since Dillon Consulting's 2013 report

Additional engineering expense

Improvements to the 2nd Line bridge; the addition of

Increased allowances due to increased land values

Mitigation of impacts to fisheries and environment





We appreciate your attendance, comments and feedback

If you have any feedback on this project please fill out a comment card

Thank You

