**TOWN OF INNISFIL** 

# 25<sup>TH</sup> SIDE ROAD – RECONSTRUCTION & ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION ARBORIST REPORT

SEPTEMBER 09, 2022







# 25TH SIDE ROAD – RECONSTRUCTION & ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION ARBORIST REPORT

TOWN OF INNISFIL

PROJECT NO.: 211-06027-00 DATE: SEPTEMBER 09, 2022

WSP 100 COMMERCE VALLEY DRIVE WEST THORNHILL, ON CANADA L3T 0A1

T: +1 905 882-1100 F: +1 905 882-0055 WSP.COM

## REVISION HISTORY

#### FIRST ISSUE

March 11, 2022	Issued for Preliminary Design Submission				
Prepared by	Reviewed by	Approved By			
Nicole Bitter	Peter McNamara	Peter McNamara			

#### SECOND ISSUE

September 9, 2022	Issued for Preliminary Design Submission (Update)				
Prepared by	Reviewed by	Approved By			
Nicole Bitter	Peter McNamara	Peter McNamara			

## SIGNATURES

PREPARED BY

Nice Bibb

September 9, 2022

Nicole Bitter, BSc. Arborist Date

APPROVED<sup>1</sup> BY (must be reviewed for technical accuracy prior to approval)

Patol manance

September 9, 2022

Peter McNamara, B.A. Senior Arborist / Landscape Designer ON-1140A Date

WSP Canada Inc. prepared this report solely for the use of the intended recipient, Town of Innisfil, in accordance with the professional services agreement. The intended recipient is solely responsible for the disclosure of any information contained in this report. The content and opinions contained in the present report are based on the observations and/or information available to WSP Canada Inc. at the time of preparation. If a third party makes use of, relies on, or makes decisions in accordance with this report, said third party is solely responsible for such use, reliance or decisions. WSP Canada Inc. does not accept responsibility for damages, if any, suffered by any third party as a result of decisions made or actions taken by said third party based on this report. This limitations statement is considered an integral part of this report.

The original of this digital file will be conserved by WSP Canada Inc. for a period of not less than 10 years. As the digital file transmitted to the intended recipient is no longer under the control of WSP Canada Inc., its integrity cannot be assured. As such, WSP Canada Inc. does not guarantee any modifications made to this digital file subsequent to its transmission to the intended recipient.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Approval of this document is an administrative function indicating readiness for release and does not impart legal liability on to the Approver for any technical content contained herein. Technical accuracy and fit-for-purpose of this content is obtained through the review process. The Approver shall ensure the applicable review process has occurred prior to signing the document.

1	INTRODUCTION	4
1.1	Study Limits	4
1.2	Report Framework	6
2	EXISTING CONDITIONS	7
2.1	Built Form and Naturalized areas	7
<b>2.2</b> 2.2.1	Vegetation Subject Site and Adjacent Properties	<b>7</b> 7
2.3	Condition	8
3	POLICY CONTEXT	9
3.1	Tree By-law	9
3.2	Canada Food and Inspection Agency	9
3.3	Lake Simcoe and Region Conservation Authority Guidelines	10
3.4	Endangered Species Act, 2007	10
3.5	Migratory Birds Convention Act, 1994	10
4	DEFINITIONS	12
5	FIELD SURVEYS	14
5.1	Tree Inventory Methodology	14
5.1.1	Tree Assessment & Identification Criteria	14
5.2	Tree Inventory Results	14
6	DISCUSSION	16
6.1	Proposed Works	16
6.2	Tree Recommendations / Assumptions	16
6.2.1	Tree Removal	16
6.2.2 6.2.3	Tree Preservation	17 17
63		17
6.3.1	Root Pruning	18
6.4	Tree Preservation	27
7	MITIGATION MEASURES	30
7.1	General Mitigation Measures	30
7.2	Air-spade / Hydro-vacuum Excavation	31
7.3	Root Pruning Practices	31

7.4	Branch Pruning Practices	32
7.5	Migratory Bird Protection:	32
7.6	Construction Implementation	33
8	CONCLUSION	34
9	LITERATURE CITED	35
10	LIMITATIONS OF ASSESSMENT	

#### TABLES

TABLE 4.1 – DEFINITIONS	12
TABLE 4.2 – TREE ASSESSMENT CRITERIA	13
TABLE 5.1 – TREE LOCATION	15
TABLE 6.1 – TREE REMOVAL TABLE	17
TABLE 6.2 – ROOT PRUNING TABLE	18
TABLE 6.3 – ROOT PRUNING AND MITIGATION	
TABLE	18
TABLE 6.4 – MINIMUM TREE PROTECTION ZONE	
DETERMINATION	28
TABLE 6.5 – TREE PRESERVATION TABLE	28

## **FIGURES**

FIGURE 1: STUDY AREA.....5

#### **APPENDICES**

- A TREE PRESERVATION TABLES
- B SITE PHOTOS

# **1 INTRODUCTION**

WSP Canada Inc. (WSP) was retained by Town of Innisfil to implement the preliminary design and phasing plan for the reconstruction and active transportation facilities along 25<sup>th</sup> Side Road between Innisfil Beach Road and Bay Point Road.

WSP Landscape Architecture has completed a vegetation inventory within the right of way (ROW) of 25<sup>th</sup> Side Road, including trees on adjacent private properties with a dripline that overhangs the ROW which may be impacted by the proposed works. The purpose of the inventory was to assess vegetation for health and location and potential impacts related to the proposed design. Tree Preservation Plans have been prepared in association with this report.

## 1.1 STUDY LIMITS

- The study limit for this site consists of 25<sup>th</sup> Side Road in Innisfil Innisfil Beach Road to Big Bay Point Rd (nearly 7 km);
- Refer to Figure 1, which illustrates the study area.

#### Figure 1: Study Area



- A) Study area highlighted in red. Imagery from Google Earth.
- B) Study area outlined in red. LSRCA Regulated Areas in yellow hatch. Taken from LSRCA's Regulation Maps tool. \*Last accessed February 2022

## **1.2 REPORT FRAMEWORK**

This report details the results of the tree inventory; provides an overview of the relevant policy and legislation in relation to the proposed works; and makes recommendations for tree protection, tree injury, mitigative measures and removals based on the proposed works.

- The study area limits are located within the limits of 25<sup>th</sup> Side Road in Innisfil. The Town of Innisfil does not have a tree by-law and defers to the County of Simcoe Forest Conservation By-law No. 6894.
- The tree inventory included:
  - Individual trees >10cm DBH within the study area;
  - Trees with a canopy that continuously overlapped (e.g. forest edges) were assessed as a grouping;
  - Trees on privately owned land with a dripline that overhangs the ROW limit.

This report is to be read in conjunction with:

- Appendix A: Tree Preservation Tables;
- Appendix B: Site Photos;
- Tree Preservation Plans (TP-1 to TP-5).

# **2 EXISTING CONDITIONS**

Vegetation was observed within the study area limits. This area consisted of the ROW along 25<sup>th</sup> Side Road and adjacent single dwelling residential lots, farmland, and wooded areas.

Vegetation is a mixture of native and non-native deciduous and coniferous trees.

## 2.1 BUILT FORM AND NATURALIZED AREAS

- No buildings were present within the ROW;
- Several single dwelling residential properties lined 25<sup>th</sup> Side Road;
- A commercial plaza and mid-rise residential building were located at the south end of the study area, at the intersection of 25<sup>th</sup> Side Road and Innisfil Beach Road;
- A Town of Innisfil building and gas station were located along the east side of 25<sup>th</sup> Side Road, in the centralsouthern portion of the study area;
- Farmland was present along 25<sup>th</sup> Side Road in the northern portion of the study area;
- Several naturalized areas were present along 25<sup>th</sup> Side Road, throughout the study area.

## 2.2 VEGETATION

Vegetation consisted of naturally occurring clusters and woodlot, as well as planted trees within the ROW and / or on private property.

Vegetation composition and tree size are detailed below by location.

## 2.2.1 SUBJECT SITE AND ADJACENT PROPERTIES

- Trees within the study area were primarily located on public property; however, they were often located on private front lawns lining 25<sup>th</sup> Side Road.
- Trees within the study area include:
  - Mixture of native and non-native trees;
  - Mixture of deciduous and coniferous trees;
  - Inventoried trees ranged from 10 to 109 cm DBH with the average of 29 cm effective DBH.
- The northern portion of the study area was mainly occupied by farmland and naturalized or wooded areas, with some planted trees along the hedgerows of the farmland. Species composition in the northern portion of the study area includes:
  - <u>Abundant</u>: Ash species (*Fraxinus sp.*), either in poor condition or dead, Poplar species (*Populus sp.*), Eastern White Pine (*Pinus strobus*), and Black Locust (*Robinia pseudoacacia*);
  - Frequent: Sugar Maple (Acer saccharum) and Eastern White Cedar (Thuja occidentalis);
  - <u>Occasional</u>: American Basswood (*Tilia americana*), Manitoba Maple (*Acer negundo*), American Elm (*Ulmus americana*), Paper Birch (*Betula papyrifera*), and Northern Red Oak (*Quercus rubra*).

- The central and southern portions of the study area were mainly occupied by residential and commercial lots consisting of planted trees, with occasional stretches of naturalized and wooded areas. Species composition in the central and southern portions of the study area include:
  - <u>Abundant</u>: Ash species, either in poor condition or dead, Eastern White Cedar, Eastern White Pine, American Basswood, Scots Pine (*Pinus Sylvestris*), Blue Spruce (*Picea pungens*), White Spruce (*Picea glauca*), Trembling Aspen (*Populus tremuloides*), and Norway Maple (*Acer platanoides*);
  - <u>Frequent</u>: Sugar Maple, Paper Birch, Poplar species, American Elm, Norway Spruce (*Picea abies*), Balsam Fir (*Abies balsamea*), Black Pine (*Pinus nigra*), and Silver Maple (*Acer saccharinum*);
  - <u>Occasional</u>: Manitoba Maple, Red Maple (*Acer rubrum*), Weeping Mulberry (*Morus alba* 'Pendula'), Cherry species (*Prunus sp.*), Pear species (*Pyrus sp.*), Apple species (*Malus sp.*), American Larch (*Larix laricina*), American Beech (*Fagus grandifolia*), Ivory-silk Lilac (*Syringa reticulata*), Emerald Green Cedar (*Thuja occidentalis* 'Smaragd'), Black Walnut (*Juglans nigra*), Siberian Elm (*Ulmus pumila*), and Horse Chestnut (*Aesculus hippocastanum*).

## 2.3 CONDITION

Tree health ranges between good and poor; the majority observed to be in good condition overall. Several of the trees in poor condition were Ash trees, likely affect by Emerald Ash Borer (*Agrilus planipennis*). Signs of decline and defects were observed on some trees including:

- Lean;
- Weakly formed unions;
- Dead branches and stems;
- Loose bark;
- Co-dominant stems;
- Exposed roots;
- Dieback up to 75%;
- Overall lack of vigour;
- Fruiting bodies;
- Compartmentalization;
- Vertical cracks;
- Suckering at base.

# **3 POLICY CONTEXT**

This section summarizes the various municipal, regional, provincial and federal planning policies and regulations related to the tree inventory and applicability to the project. Thus, they provide the policy context for this Arborist Report.

## 3.1 TREE BY-LAW

- The Town of Innisfil does not have a tree by-law and defers to the County of Simcoe Forest Conservation Bylaw No. 6894.
- The County of Simcoe Forest Conservation By-law regulates clearing of forests, commercial harvesting, and properties no smaller than one hectare (2.47 acres). This By-law regulates the injury or destruction of any tree within woodlands or sensitive natural areas without an applicable permit.
- The By-law does not apply to work undertaken or authorized by a municipality.

#### Applicability to Project

- This study area is more than one hectare; however, the individual properties on which trees occurred were generally less than one hectare.
- Some of the wooded areas within the study area are greater than one hectare in size.
- The work being conducted within the study area is authorized by the municipality; as such, this By-law does not apply.

## 3.2 CANADA FOOD AND INSPECTION AGENCY

Canada Food and Inspection Agency (CFIA) Directive D-03-08: Phytosanitary Requirements to Prevent the Introduction into and Spread within Canada of the Emerald Ash Borer (EAB), *Agrilus planipennis* (Fairmaire), applies to Ash (*Fraxinus* sp.) species observed on properties that are located within the EAB Regulated Areas of Canada, prepared by the CFIA and dated June 2019. This area covers all south and central Ontario and western Quebec. Ash trees that require removal are subject to this directive.

Applicability to Project

- The CFIA restricts the movement of all Ash material including wood, bark, chips or bark chips from being transported outside of the Regulated Area. A Movement Certificate is required by the CFIA for any Ash material leaving the Regulated Area.
- Ash are permitted to be chipped on site and / or removed or cut down and removed from site. Chipped Ash material that is to remain on site must be ground or chipped to a size of less than 2.5cm in any two dimensions. All Ash material chipped or whole that is to be removed from site must be disposed of within the Regulated Areas of Canada.
- Refer to the CFIA website for a current map of the 'Emerald Ash Borer Regulated Areas of Canada'.
- Thirty-one (31) Ash trees, in good to poor condition or dead, were observed within the study area. Trees range in size from 10 to 109cm DBH. Evidence of EAB was observed for several trees.

## 3.3 LAKE SIMCOE AND REGION CONSERVATION AUTHORITY GUIDELINES

The Lake Simcoe and Region Conservation Authority (LSRCA), as mandated under O. Reg. 179/06 LSRCA Regulation of Development, Interference with Wetlands and Alteration to Shorelines and Watercourses, regulates and may prohibit work that may take place within a regulated area ("an area that represents the greatest physical extent of the combined hazards, plus a prescribed allowance, as set out in the Conservation Authorities Act"). This includes valley and stream corridors, wetlands and associated areas of interference and the Lake Simcoe waterfront.

#### Applicability to Project

• Several portions of the study area fall within LSRCA regulated areas. Refer to Figure 1B.

## 3.4 ENDANGERED SPECIES ACT, 2007

Species designated as Threatened or Endangered by the Committee on the Status of Species at Risk in Ontario (COSSARO), otherwise known as Species at Risk in Ontario (SARO), and their habitats (i.e., areas essential for breeding, rearing, feeding, hibernation and migration) are automatically afforded legal protection under the Endangered Species Act, 2007 (ESA) (Government of Ontario 2007). The ESA (Subsection 9 (1)) states that:

- "No person shall,
  - a) kill, harm, harass, capture or take a living member of a species that is listed on the SARO List as an extirpated, endangered or threatened species;
  - b) possess, transport, collect, buy, sell, lease, trade or offer to buy, sell, lease or trade;
    - (i) a living or dead member of a species that is listed on the Species at Risk in Ontario List as an extirpated, endangered or threatened species;
    - (ii) any part of a living or dead member of a species referred to in subclause (i);
    - (iii) anything derived from a living or dead member of a species referred to in subclause (i); or,
  - c) sell, lease, trade or offer to sell, lease or trade anything that the person represents to be a thing described in subclause (b) (i), (ii) or (iii)".
- Clause 10(1) (a) of the ESA states that:
- "No person shall damage or destroy the habitat of a species that is listed on the SARO list as an endangered or threatened species".

#### Applicability to Project

No woody species at risk were observed within the study area.

## 3.5 MIGRATORY BIRDS CONVENTION ACT, 1994

The <u>Migratory Birds Convention Act</u>, MBCA (1994) and <u>Migratory Birds Regulations</u>, MBR (2014) protect most species of migratory birds anywhere they are found in Canada, including surrounding ocean waters, regardless of ownership. General prohibitions under the MBCA and MBR protect migratory birds, their nests and eggs and prohibit the deposit of harmful substances in waters / areas frequented by them.

• The MBR includes an additional prohibition against incidental take, defined by Environmental Canada as:

#### "The inadvertent harming, killing, disturbance or destruction of migratory birds, nests and eggs."

- Environment Canada implements policies and guidelines to protect migratory birds, their eggs and their nests. There is guidance on the Environment Canada website to minimize the risk of incidental take effects on migratory birds, achieve compliance with the law and maintain sustainable populations of migratory birds.
- Compliance with the MBCA and MBR is best achieved through a due diligence approach, which identifies potential risk, based on a site-specific analysis in consideration of the Avoidance Guidelines and Best Management Practices information on the Environment Canada website.

#### Applicability to Project

- The MBCA and its regulations are applicable to the project. Migratory bird species subject to the MBCA may be present within the study area and may use various habitats within the study area (e.g. trees, grass and other herbaceous material, buildings). Recommended measures to reduce the possibility of contravention to the MBCA and its regulations are provided in Section 7.6.
- Tree removals are to be coordinated outside of the Migratory Bird Nesting Season (April 1 to August 31) and the active period for bats (e.g. up to the end of September). Overall clearing of trees would be permitted between October 1 to March 31.

# **4 DEFINITIONS**

The following are the definitions of the assessment categories utilized in our tree assessment:

#### Table 4.1 – Definitions

ACRONYM / DEFINITION	DESCRIPTION
Tree Number	This number refers to the number on the on the tree tag or alpha-numeric, alphabetical or tree grouping label listed in Appendix A: Tree Inventory Tables and labelled on the Tree Preservation Plans (e.g. P29, 1216, A or TG-1).
Tree Grouping	A tree grouping is more than one (1) tree located within proximity of other trees with no separation between the canopies.
DBH	"Diameter at breast height" and refers to the diameter of the stem of a tree measured outside the bark at a point 1.37 metres (4.5 feet) above the highest point on the tree where the ground meets the stump.
Tree Protection Zone (TPZ)	This is the area around a tree that is to be protected through tree protection measures e.g. hoarding. No construction activities are to be undertaken within this zone.
Suppressed	Refers to trees that have their crowns completely overtopped by adjacent trees and received limited to very limited sunlight.
Co-dominant Stem	Stems equal in size and relative importance that make up the overall crown of the tree.
Union	Junction point where two or more stems meet. A 'U' shaped junction indicates a well-formed union. A 'V' shaped junction indicates a weakly formed union, whereas stems grow and increase in girth, weak bark called 'included bark' forms within the junction and stems start to push apart causing vertical cracks and loss of structure.
Compartmentalization	This is a naturally occurring process by which chemical and physical barriers are synthesized to prevent the spread of decay and disease in trees.
Irregular Tree Form	Refers to branches and stems that have formed irregularly often resulting in contorted growth, weak attachments, weakly formed unions and codominant stems. The irregular growth of scaffold (lateral) branches typically leads to damage to other scaffold branches.
Imminently Hazardous Tree	Refers to a destabilized or structurally compromised tree that is in imminent danger of causing damage or injury to life or property.
Injure and Injury	Described as failure to protect a tree's health in any manner. This includes but is not limited to: wounding the trunk, canopy, or roots; breaking branches; compacting soil within a tree's TPZ; or not following mitigation measures outlined in this report.
Root Zone	Refers to the subterranean area around the tree measured from the trunk to up to 2-3m beyond the dripline.
Critical Root Zone	The minimum area of the root system necessary to maintain vitality or stability of the tree. Typically, this area extends to the drip line of the tree. The severing of one root can cause approximately 5-20% loss of the root system. A reduction of this area by greater than 30% can pose stability concerns for the tree.
Public Tree	Any tree which has 50 percent or more of their main stem situated on a public park or a Town street.

#### Table 4.2 – Tree Assessment Criteria

DEFINITION	DESCRIPTION
Trunk Integrity (T.I.)	This is an assessment of the trunk for any defects or weaknesses. It is measured on a scale of poor, fair, good.
Canopy Structure (C.S.)	This is an assessment of the scaffold branches, unions and the canopy of the tree. This is measured on a scale of poor, fair, good.
Canopy Vigour (C.V.)	This is an assessment of the health of the tree and assesses the amount of deadwood and live growth in the crown as compared to a 100% healthy tree. The size, colour and amount of foliage are also considered in this category. This is measured on a scale of poor, fair, good.
Good	Tree displays less than 15% deficiency/defect within the given tree assessment criteria (TI, CS, CV).
Fair	Tree displays 15%-40% deficiency/defect within the given tree assessment criteria (TI, CS, CV).
Poor	Tree displays greater than 40% deficiency/defect within the given tree assessment criteria (TI, CS, CV).

# **5 FIELD SURVEYS**

## 5.1 TREE INVENTORY METHODOLOGY

The field observations were conducted on October 18, 19, 20, 26, and 29, 2021 within the study limits.

- Tree information recorded included species, DBH, dripline radius, location and general health condition;
- Tree locations were identified using a combination of aerial photography and a topographic survey;
- Representative photographs were taken, which are on file at WSP;
- Refer to Appendix B for a photographic inventory.

#### 5.1.1 TREE ASSESSMENT & IDENTIFICATION CRITERIA

Individual trees with a DBH greater than 10 cm within the study area limits were tagged as below:

- Individual trees within the ROW were tagged with pre-numbered metal tree tags where possible; however, trees within front lawns, even where they were considered to be within the ROW, were not tagged and were instead assigned alpha-numeric identifiers, e.g. A1.
- Trees within the study area with a dripline that continuously overlapped adjacent trees were assessed as groupings e.g. TG1.

## 5.2 TREE INVENTORY RESULTS

A total of **599 trees** were assessed for this report:

- 371 individual trees:
  - Tree numbers from A1 to A324;
  - Tree numbers from 501 to 539;
  - Tree numbers from 201 to 208.
- 228 trees in 21 tree groupings:
  - TG-1 to TG-21.

Refer to Section 2.2 for a detailed description of trees and location and Appendix A for details on the inventory of each tree.

The majority of trees were inferred to be within the Town property limits; however, it was difficult to discern the location of non-surveyed trees as many of these trees were located on residential lawns whereby it was difficult to determine the property limit. Some trees located on private property were captured within this inventory as their canopies overlapped the proposed work area. Refer to the following table for a breakdown of trees by location.

#### Table 5.1 – Tree Location

Property Type	Tree Numbers	Subtotal
Town	201, 202, 203, 204, 205, 206, 207, 208, 501, 502, 503, 504, 505, 506, 507, 508, 509, 510, 517, 518, 519, 520, 521, 522, 523, 524, 525, 526, 529, 530, 531, 532, 533, 534, 535, A100, A101, A102, A103, A104, A11, A122, A123, A126, A13, A133, A134, A135, A14, A143, A144, A145, A146, A147, A149, A150, A153, A154, A155, A156, A157, A158, A159, A16, A160, A161, A162, A163, A164, A165, A166, A167, A168, A169, A170, A171, A172, A173, A174, A175, A176, A177, A178, A179, A180, A181, A182, A183, A184, A185, A186, A187, A188, A189, A190, A191, A192, A193, A194, A195, A196, A197, A198, A199, A200, A201, A202, A203, A204, A205, A206, A207, A208, A209, A210, A223, A224, A225, A227, A228, A229, A230, A231, A232, A233, A234, A235, A236, A243, A244, A245, A246, A247, A248, A249, A25, A250, A251, A252, A253, A26, A262, A267, A27, A272, A275, A276, A277, A278, A279, A28, A280, A282, A283, A284, A289, A29, A290, A291, A292, A294, A295, A296, A297, A298, A299, A30, A300, A306, A307, A308, A309, A31, A310, A311, A312, A313, A314, A316, A317, A318, A32, A320, A321, A35, A37, A41, A42, A43, A44, A48, A50, A52, A53, A54, A55, A56, A57, A58, A59, A60, A61, A62, A64, A68, A69, A70, A71, A77, A78, A79, A80, A81, A82, A83, A85, A86, A87, A88, A89, A90, A91, A92, A93, A94, A95, A96, A98, A99, TG10 (18 trees), TG11 (45 trees), TG12 (6 trees), TG15 (5 trees), TG16 (7 trees), TG17 (4 trees), TG18 (4 trees), TG19 (5 trees), TG20 (9 trees), TG21 (16 trees), TG3 (10 trees), TG4 (3 trees), TG5 (5 trees), TG6 (10 trees), TG7 (10 trees), TG8 (3 trees), TG9 (6 trees)	395
Private	511, 512, 513, 514, 515, 516, 527, 528, 536, 537, 538, 539, A1, A10, A105, A106, A107, A108, A109, A110, A111, A112, A113, A114, A115, A116, A117, A118, A119, A12, A120, A121, A124, A125, A127, A128, A129, A130, A131, A132, A136, A137, A138, A139, A140, A141, A142, A148, A15, A151, A152, A17, A18, A19, A2, A20, A21, A211, A212, A213, A214, A215, A216, A217, A218, A219, A22, A220, A221, A222, A226, A23, A237, A238, A239, A24, A240, A241, A242, A254, A255, A256, A257, A258, A259, A260, A261, A263, A264, A265, A266, A268, A269, A270, A271, A273, A274, A281, A285, A286, A287, A288, A293, A3, A301, A302, A303, A304, A305, A315, A319, A322, A323, A324, A33, A34, A36, A38, A39, A4, A40, A45, A46, A47, A49, A5, A51, A6, A63, A65, A66, A67, A7, A72, A73, A74, A75, A76, A8, A84, A9, A97, TG1 (10 trees), TG13 (23 trees), TG14 (8 trees), TG2 (7 trees), and TG4 (14 trees)	204
Totals		599

# 6 **DISCUSSION**

This section is a discussion of the retention potential, preservation and / or impacts to trees within the limits of the proposed site development. Proposed works, vegetation recommendations, impacts and preservation are detailed in the following sections.

## 6.1 PROPOSED WORKS

Proposed works are shown on the Tree Preservation Plans. The anticipated proposed works related to the reconstruction and active transportation development include:

- Proposed asphalt roadway;
- Proposed 1.5 2m concrete sidewalks;
- Proposed 1.5 2m cycle lane;
- Proposed 3m multi use path;
- Proposed 1.5 2m and 4m mixed cross ride;
- Proposed bioswale and planting strips;
- Proposed 2.4m parking lane;
- Proposed 0.5m asphalt buffer / maintenance strips;
- Proposed mountable curb;
- Proposed 2.5m crosswalk;
- Proposed 5.05 boulevard;
- Proposed roundabout;
- Proposed hydro poles;
- Utility pole / fire hydrant relocation.

## 6.2 TREE RECOMMENDATIONS / ASSUMPTIONS

The design, infrastructure installation and grading works have been proposed as part of preliminary design drawings prepared by WSP Canada Inc. The site plan elements have been illustrated on the Tree Preservation Plans.

The following recommendations / assumptions apply to trees that are to be removed, preserved, or retained.

#### 6.2.1 TREE REMOVAL

- Tree removal is recommended when the amount of impact is likely to cause a significant and irreversible decline in health of the tree;
- Tree removal is based on the degree of excavation / disturbance within the TPZ and considers tree species, size, condition and the number of critical roots that would be impacted that are vital to sustaining the trees overall health and stability;
- This designation may also be applied to trees that are dead; in poor condition or trees that could pose future safety concerns; and trees dying because of a disease or insect infestation.

## 6.2.2 TREE PRESERVATION

- Preservation of trees is considered where an encroachment, excavation or disturbance into the TPZ is expected to be minor or nil and that tree health and stability will not be adversely impacted;
- The implementation of mitigation measures will reduce potential impacts to the tree therefore allowing the tree to be preserved e.g. air-spade excavation and / or horizontal root protection.

#### 6.2.3 TREE RETENTION

• Proposed works will occur beyond the TPZ and the dripline with no impacts to the tree. Trees can be retained and do not require tree protection hoarding.

## 6.3 TREE REMOVALS

Where the impact to the root zone and branch removal will be significant and is likely to cause an irreversible decline in health of the tree from the removal or damage of structural and critical roots, tree removal is recommended.

- Impacts to trees will occur where trees are located within the limits of the proposed transportation infrastructure including:
  - Sidewalks, cycle lane, multi use path, bioswale / planting strips, and asphalt buffer / maintenance strips.
- A total of **349 trees** are recommended for removal;
- The following table provides details on the location of trees to be removed.

Property Type	Tree Numbers	Subtotal
Town	201, 205, 206, 501, 502, 510, 517, 520, 521, 522, 523, 524, 525, 526, 529, A100, A102, A103, A122, A123, A126, A13, A133, A134, A135, A14, A143, A144, A145, A146, A147, A150, A156, A157, A158, A159, A160, A161, A162, A163, A164, A165, A166, A167, A168, A169, A170, A171, A172, A173, A174, A175, A176, A177, A178, A179, A180, A181, A182, A183, A184, A185, A186, A187, A188, A189, A190, A191, A192, A193, A194, A195, A196, A197, A198, A199, A202, A203, A204, A205, A206, A207, A208, A209, A210, A223, A224, A225, A236, A243, A244, A245, A246, A247, A248, A249, A250, A251, A252, A253, A276, A277, A278, A279, A28, A280, A289, A29, A290, A291, A292, A294, A295, A296, A297, A298, A299, A300, A306, A307, A308, A309, A310, A311, A312, A313, A314, A316, A35, A41, A42, A43, A44, A48, A50, A59, A60, A61, A62, A69, A70, A71, A77, A78, A79, A80, A81, A82, A83, A86, A87, A88, A89, A90, A93, A95, TG10 (18 trees), TG11 (45 trees), TG12 (6 trees), TG3 (10 trees), TG16 (7 trees), TG18 (4 trees), TG19 (5 trees), TG20 (9 trees), TG21 (16 trees), TG3 (10 trees), TG4 (3 trees), TG7 (10 trees), and TG8 (3 trees)	297
Private	511, 512, 513, 514, 515, 516, 536, 537, A10, A111, A12, A124, A136, A141, A142, A213, A214, A222, A237, A281, A3, A301, A33, A34, A36, A4, A84, A9, TG1 (10 trees), and TG4 (14 trees)	52
Totals		349

#### Table 6.1 – Tree Removal Table

#### 6.3.1 ROOT PRUNING

- Excavation for the proposed roadwork infrastructure will require root pruning, specifically trees within proposed sidewalks, cycle lanes, bioswale /planting strips, asphalt buffer/ maintenance strips, and multi-use paths. In these locations the work will encroach into the dripline and root zone of trees and have the potential to damage roots and/or branches through excavation and mobilization of equipment.
- At total of 120 trees will require pruning due to construction activities;
- To mitigate the potential damage to trees, the following recommendations are proposed:
  - As roots are exposed during excavation, prune at the limit of excavation;
  - Where possible, excavate in the same direction as the roots to minimize breakage;
  - Roots are to be pruned neatly and cleanly with proper / approved tools (i.e. by-pass secateurs, chain saw, hand saw);
  - Pruning to be undertaken by a certified arborist or under the supervision of one;
  - Backfill immediately after excavation;
  - Should excavation occur in the summer months (June to September), periodically water trees to minimize shock and potential for decline.
  - Refer to Section 7.3 for Root Pruning Practices.
- Refer to Table 6.2 which identifies the quantities and tree numbers per location and Table 6.3 which includes details for each tree. Refer to Sections 7 for mitigative measures.

LOCATION	TREE NUMBERS	SUBTOTAL
Town	A235, A267, A272, A54, 202, 504, 530, 531, A101, A104, A149, A16, A282, A283, A284, A30, A317, A318, A320, A321, A52, A55, A56, A57, A64, A85, A91, A92, A96, A98, A99, A27, and A26	33
Private	A105, A112, A115, A117, A119, A120, A121, A130, A131, A132, A148, A151, A152, A240, A254, A256, A258, A293, A39, A7, A72, A73, A8, A97, 527, 528, 538, 539, A1, A109, A110, A113, A114, A116, A118, A125, A129, A2, A21, A211, A212, A216, A217, A219, A22, A220, A221, A226, A23, A238, A241, A242, A257, A259, A260, A264, A265, A266, A268, A269, A270, A271, A273, A285, A286, A287, A288, A302, A303, A304, A305, A315, A319, A46, A5, A51, A6, A74, A75, A76, and TG2 (7 trees)	87
Total		120

#### Table 6.2 – Root Pruning Table

#### Table 6.3 – Root Pruning and Mitigation Table

TREE #	SPECIES	DBH (cm)	TPZ	INJURY	MITIGATION & SURVIVAL
202	Eastern White Cedar	MS 25, 16, 14, 10	1.8	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk and cycle lane will cause potential damage to roots.	During excavation any roots exposed are to be pruned at the limit of disturbance using air- spade / hydro-vacuum excavation and accepted pruning techniques (see

TREE #	SPECIES	DBH (cm)	TPZ	INJURY	MITIGATION & SURVIVAL
					Sections 7.2, 7.3, and 7.4). This measure will enable pruned root ends to sprout new roots once construction has been completed and site has been restored and ensure that structural stability and health will remain unchanged. Refer to Section 7.
504	Sugar Maple	27	1.8	Excavation for the proposed multi-use path will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.
527	Manitoba Maple	MS: 37, 17	2.4	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk and cycle lane will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.
528	Manitoba Maple	33	2.4	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk, cycle lane, and bioswale / planting strip will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.
530	Scots Pine	19	1.8	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk and cycle lane will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.
531	Eastern White Cedar	21	1.8	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.
538	Apple sp.	21	1.8	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk and cycle lane will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.
539	Apple sp.	MS: 15, 15, 9, 9, 7, 10, 10	1.8	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk and cycle lane will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.
A1	Sugar Maple	95	6	Excavation for the proposed multi-use path, and bioswale / planting strip will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.
A2	American Basswood	MS: 95, 105	6.3	Excavation for the proposed multi-use path, and bioswale / planting strip will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.
A5	Eastern White Pine	47	3	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.
A6	Eastern White Pine	46	3	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.

TREE #	SPECIES	DBH (cm)	TPZ	INJURY	MITIGATION & SURVIVAL
A7	Manitoba Maple	MS: 18, 22, 22	1.8	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.
A8	Eastern White Pine	37	2.4	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.
A16	Northern Red Oak	48	3	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.
A21	White Spruce	30	2.4	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.
A22	Blue Spruce	30	2.4	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.
A23	Norway Maple	30	2.4	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.
A26	Silver Maple	MS: 11, 12, 14, 11, 18, 22	1.8	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.
A27	American Elm	MS: 18, 30, 32	2.4	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.
A30	Blue Spruce	30	2.4	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.
A39	Norway Maple	MS: 12, 14	1.8	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.
A46	Eastern White Pine	35	2.4	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk and cycle lane will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.
A51	Eastern White Cedar	18	1.8	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk and cycle lane will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.
A52	Scots Pine	30	2.4	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk and cycle lane will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.

TREE #	SPECIES	DBH (cm)	TPZ	INJURY	MITIGATION & SURVIVAL
A54	Scots Pine	25	1.8	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk and cycle lane will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.
A55	Scots Pine	22	1.8	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk and cycle lane will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.
A56	Scots Pine	16	1.8	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk and cycle lane will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.
A57	Scots Pine	14	1.8	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.
A64	Eastern White Cedar	30	2.4	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.
A72	White Spruce	18	1.8	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.
A73	White Spruce	20	1.8	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.
A74	White Spruce	26	1.8	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.
A75	White Spruce	28	1.8	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.
A76	White Spruce	31	2.4	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk and cycle lane will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.
A85	Norway Spruce	29	1.8	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk and cycle lane will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.
A91	White Spruce	29	1.8	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk and cycle lane will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.
A92	White Spruce	29	1.8	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk and cycle lane will cause potential damage to roots.       Root pruning and air-spathare	

TREE #	SPECIES	DBH (cm)	TPZ	INJURY	MITIGATION & SURVIVAL		
A96	Eastern White Cedar	MS: 10, 8	1.8	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk and cycle lane will cause potential damage to roots.Root pruning and air-spade hydro-vacuum excavation. 			
A97	White Spruce	29	1.8	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk will cause potential damage to roots. Note for tree # 202.			
A98	Sugar Maple	16	1.8	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk and cycle lane will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.		
A99	Paper Birch	MS: 15, 16	1.8	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk and cycle lane will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.		
A101	Eastern White Cedar	MS: 8, 11, 12, 13	1.8	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.		
A104	Sugar Maple	MS: 12, 5	1.8	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk and cycle lane will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.		
A105	Red Maple	MS: 18, 27	1.8	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.		
A109	Eastern White Pine	42	3	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk, cycle lane, and bioswale / planting strip will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.		
A110	Apple sp.	MS: 10, 16, 17	1.8	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk and cycle lane will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.		
A112	Paper Birch	MS: 4, 5, 5, 5, 5, 6	1.2	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.		
A113	Paper Birch	MS: 9, 14, 15, 17, 18, 18	1.8	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.		
A114	Trembling Aspen	37	2.4	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk and cycle lane will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.		
A115	Balsam Fir	15	1.8	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.		

TREE #	SPECIES	DBH (cm)	TPZ	INJURY	MITIGATION & SURVIVAL	
A116	Ash sp.	42	3	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk, cycle lane, and bioswale / planting strip will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.	
A117	White Spruce	40	2.4	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.	
A118	Sugar Maple	32	2.4	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk, cycle lane, and bioswale / planting strip will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.	
A119	Red Maple	MS: 16, 18, 18, 25	1.8	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk and cycle lane will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.	
A120	Eastern White Pine	27	1.8	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk and cycle lane will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.	
A121	Eastern White Pine	33	2.4	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk and cycle lane will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.	
A125	Norway Maple	22	1.8	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk and cycle lane will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.	
A129	Norway Maple	25	1.8	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk and cycle lane will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.	
A130	Eastern White Pine	38	2.4	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk, cycle lane, and bioswale / planting strip will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.	
A131	Paper Birch	31	2.4	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk and cycle lane will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.	
A132	Trembling Aspen	18	1.8	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk and cycle lane will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.	
A148	White Spruce	15	1.8	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.	
A149	Paper Birch	MS: 12, 12, 11, 7, 9, 16, 13	1.8	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk and cycle lane will cause potential damage to roots.Root pruning and air-space hydro-vacuum excavation note for tree # 202.		

TREE #	SPECIES	DBH (cm)	TPZ	INJURY	MITIGATION & SURVIVAL	
A151	White Spruce	18	1.8	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk and cycle lane will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.	
A152	Northern Red Oak	42	3	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk and cycle lane will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.	
A211	Scots Pine	47	3	Excavation for the proposed roundabout will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.	
A212	Scots Pine	18	1.8	Excavation for the proposed roundabout will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.	
A216	Eastern White Cedar	25	1.8	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.	
A217	Emerald cedar	MS: 10, 10, 10, 8, 4	1.8	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.	
A219	Scots Pine	24	1.8	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk and cycle lane will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.	
A220	Eastern White Cedar	24	1.8	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.	
A221	Eastern White Cedar	22	1.8	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk and cycle lane will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.	
A226	Norway Maple	30	2.4	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk, cycle lane, and bioswale / planting strip will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.	
A235	Eastern White Cedar	MS: 20, 20, 20, 18, 10, 8	1.8	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.	
A238	Norway Maple	30	2.4	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk and cycle lane will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.	
A240	Scots Pine	35	2.4	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk and cycle lane will cause potential damage to hydro-vacuum excavation note for tree # 202.		

TREE #	SPECIES	DBH (cm)	TPZ	INJURY	MITIGATION & SURVIVAL
A241	White Spruce	15	1.8	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.
A242	White Spruce	30	2.4	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk, cycle lane, and bioswale / planting strip will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.
A254	Eastern White Pine	55	3.6	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.
A256	Cherry sp.	20	1.8	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.
A257	Paper Birch	MS: 12, 14	1.8	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk and cycle lane will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.
A258	Eastern White Cedar	17	1.8	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.
A259	Silver Maple	MS: 12, 12, 15, 15, 8, 10, 14	1.8	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk and cycle lane will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.
A260	Silver Maple	38	2.4	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk and cycle lane will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.
A264	Norway Maple	15	1.8	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk and cycle lane will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.
A265	Paper Birch	16	1.8	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.
A266	Black Walnut	30	2.4	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk, cycle lane, and bioswale / planting strip will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.
A267	Cherry sp.	17	1.8	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk and cycle lane will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.
A268	Black Pine	37	2.4	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk, cycle lane, and bioswale / planting strip will cause potential damage to roots.       Root pruning and air-sp hydro-vacuum excavati note for tree # 202.	

TREE #	SPECIES	DBH (cm)	TPZ	INJURY	MITIGATION & SURVIVAL
A269	Black Pine	34	2.4	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk, cycle lane, and bioswale / planting strip will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.
A270	Black Pine	31	2.4	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk, cycle lane, and bioswale / planting strip will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.
A271	Black Pine	24	1.8	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk, cycle lane, and bioswale / planting strip will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.
A272	Paper Birch	MS: 16, 16	1.8	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.
A273	Black Locust	MS: 48, 48	3	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk and cycle lane will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.
A282	Scots Pine	49	3	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk, cycle lane, and bioswale / planting strip will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.
A283	Scots Pine	49	3	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk, cycle lane, and bioswale / planting strip will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.
A284	Scots Pine	47	3	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk, cycle lane, and bioswale / planting strip will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.
A285	Scots Pine	52	3.6	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk, cycle lane, and bioswale / planting strip will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.
A286	Scots Pine	52	3.6	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk, cycle lane, and bioswale / planting strip will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.
A287	Scots Pine	52	3.6	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk, cycle lane, and bioswale / planting strip will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.
A288	Scots Pine	52	3.6	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk and cycle lane will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.
A293	Eastern White Cedar	38	2.4	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk and cycle lane will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.

TREE #	SPECIES	DBH (cm)	TPZ	INJURY	MITIGATION & SURVIVAL
A302	Scots Pine	53	3.6	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk, cycle lane, and bioswale / planting strip will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.
A303	Scots Pine	55	3.6	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk, cycle lane, and bioswale / planting strip will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.
A304	Scots Pine	54	3.6	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk, cycle lane, and bioswale / planting strip will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.
A305	Scots Pine	52	3.6	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk, cycle lane, and bioswale / planting strip will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.
A315	Norway Spruce	26	1.8	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk and cycle lane will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.
A317	Siberian Elm	26	1.8	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk, cycle lane, and bioswale / planting strip will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.
A318	Trembling Aspen	29	1.8	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk and cycle lane will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.
A319	American Elm	MS: 36, 37	2.4	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk and cycle lane will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.
A320	Paper Birch	MS: 10, 4, 4, 12	1.8	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.
A321	Poplar sp.	25	1.8	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk, cycle lane, and bioswale / planting strip will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.
TG2 (7 trees)	Eastern White Cedar / Eastern White Pine	MS: 10 to 20	1.8	Excavation for the proposed sidewalk will cause potential damage to roots.	Root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. See note for tree # 202.

## 6.4 TREE PRESERVATION

Trees that are well beyond construction limits with no encroachment into the TPZ can be retained. These trees will not require tree protection hoarding. Trees where construction limits will either encroach into the TPZ or will be

within proximity of the TPZ and / or dripline, will require tree protection hoarding. Table 6.5 details trees by category (retain or preserve), location, tree ID, and minimum TPZ distances for trees.

TRUNK DIAMETER	MINIMUM PROTECTION DISTANCES REQUIRED (CITY OWNED & PRIVATE TREES)	MIMIMUM PROTECTION DISTANCES REQUIRED. TREES IN AREAS PROTECTED BY THE RAVINE AND NATURAL FEATURE PROTECTION BY-LAW
		Whichever of the two is greater
<10cm	1.2m	The dripline or 1.2m
10 to 29cm	1.8m	The dripline or 3.6m
30 to 40cm	2.4m	The dripline or 4.8m
41 to 50cm	3.0m	The dripline or 6.0m
51 to 60cm	3.6m	The dripline or 7.2m
61 to 70cm	4.2m	The dripline or 8.4m
71 to 80cm	4.8m	The dripline or 9.6m
81 to 90cm	5.4m	The dripline or 10.8m
91 to 100cm	6.0m	The dripline or 12.0m
>100cm	6 cm protection for each 1cm of diameter	12cm protection for each 1cm of diameter or the dripline

#### Table 6.4 – Minimum Tree Protection Zone Determination

\*City of Toronto (July 2016). *Tree Protection Policy and Specifications for Construction Near Trees*. Toronto Parks, Forestry and Recreation, Urban Forestry.

CATEGORY	LOCATION	TREE NUMBERS	MIN. TPZ	QUANTITY
Retain	Town	208, 506, 507, 509, 534, 535, A154, A155, A228, A229, A232, A233, A234, A262, A37, A53, A68, TG5 (5 trees), TG6 (10 trees), TG9 (6 trees), 505, 508, 518, 532, A227, A230, A231, 533, A153, and TG17 (4 tree)	N/A	51
	Private	A108, A17, A18, A19, A20, A239, A261, A263, A322, A323, A324, A65, A66, A67, TG13 (23 trees), and TG14 (8 trees)	45	
Total				96
Preserve	Town	202, 203, 204, 207, 503, 504, 530, 531, A11, A25, A26, A54, A58, A55, A56, A57, A85, A91, A92, A94, A96, A98, A99, A101, A104, A149, A200, A201, A235, A267, A272, A275, A317, A318, A320, and A321	1.8	36
		519, A27, A30, A52, and A64	2.4	5

#### Table 6.5 – Tree Preservation Table

		A31, A32, A16, A202, A282, A283, and A284	3	7
	Private	A112	1.2	1
		538, 539, A7, A15, A24, A38, A39, A40, A45, A47, A51, A63, A72, A73, A74, A75, A97, A105, A106, A107, A110, A113, A115, A119, A120, A125, A128, A129, A132, A137, A138, A139, A140, A148, A151, A212, A215, A216, A217, A219, A220, A221, A241, A255, A256, A257, A258, A259, A264, A265, A271, A274, A315, and TG2 (7 trees)	1.8	60
		527, 528, A8, A21, A22, A23, A46, A49, A76, A114, A117, A118, A121, A127, A130, A131, A218, A226, A238, A240, A242, A260, A266, A268, A269, A270, A293, and A319	2.4	28
		A152, A109, A116, A211, A273, A5, and A6	3	7
		A254, A285, A286, A287, A288, A302, A303, A304, and A305	3.6	9
		A1	6	1
		A2	6.3	1
Total				155

#### Tree Protection Notes:

There are **155 trees** detailed in Table 6.5 to be preserved in the study area. To protect these trees, install the following fence type:

• Continuous plastic snow fence, 1.22m (4') height wood frames on a 38 x 89mm (2" x 4") wood frame for all trees installed at the extent of TPZs. Hoarding to be secured to the ground and installed with screws.

Tree protective hoarding is to be:

- Installed prior to construction;
- Installed per the minimum TPZ distances detailed on Appendix A and shown on the Tree Preservation Plans;
- Installed as shown on TP-4 of the Tree Preservation Plans and per manufacturers recommendations.

# 7 MITIGATION MEASURES

The survival rates for trees, which are in proximity to construction, are dependent on the resultant changes to a variety of environmental and anthropogenic factors. These construction activities bring about changes to a variety of environmental features such as the existing microclimate that includes winds, air temperature, soil moisture, amount of available sunlight, soil quality, and the level of the water table. Increased human activities may also damage the structure and/or physiological activities of the trees. The full effects of any damage that occurs may not appear until several years after its occurrence. Thus, it is essential that both vegetative clearing and preservation methods follow the guidelines below and those generally accepted as keeping with good horticultural and construction practices. The guidelines are subject to adjustments deemed reasonable and appropriate considering the proximity and number of trees involved and the site-specific servicing requirements.

## 7.1 GENERAL MITIGATION MEASURES

- Where mitigative measures are not feasible and cannot be implemented and excavation must be done mechanically, root and tree damage are to be minimized through light duty machinery i.e. bobcat, that can excavate soil in the same direction of the roots and not across and under the supervision of a certified arborist. Any roots exposed are too be pruned neatly and cleanly.
- Any roots exposed during grading are to be pruned using good arboricultural practices and per the guidelines in this report;
- Areas where excavation, grading and construction have compacted soil within a reduced TPZ, at the completion of construction, scarify soil to a depth of 100mm. Restore disturbed areas as per Landscape Plans and /or the following methods below;
  - Water trees periodically during construction;
  - After construction it is recommended that a 75mm depth layer of mulch be placed in a 2m radius around the trunks of these trees.
- The tree protection fencing will be maintained until all construction is completed, soils are stabilized, and all the equipment has been removed from the site.
- Prior to the commencement of tree removals, all limits of the locations of the tree preservation fencing must be clearly staked in the field, installed per approved plans, and approved by the contract administrator. All trees within the TPZ must be left standing. The tree removals must be coordinated in accordance and compliance with the Migratory Bird Convention Act (MBCA).
- All removals must be felled into the work area to ensure that damage does not occur to the trees within the TPZ.
- Upon completion of the tree removals, all felled trees are to be removed from the site, and all should be brush chipped. All brush, roots and wood debris must be shredded into pieces that are smaller than 25 mm in size to ensure that any insect pests that could be present within the wood are destroyed.
- The Canadian Food and Inspection Agency (CFIA) has issued a prohibition of movement where the Emerald Ash Borer (EAB) has been confirmed. EAB has been found within the Town of Innisfil and it is within the EAB Regulated Area. This directive pertains to the movement of regulated materials (including but not limited to ash wood or bark and ash wood chips or bark chips) from a regulated area. EAB regulated articles moving out of a regulated area must be accompanied by a Movement Certificate issued by the CFIA. Refer to the EAB Regulated Areas of Canada found on the CFIA website.
- Tree protection fencing must be constructed and installed as per the details on the approved Tree Preservation Plan. Upon installation of the fencing, the contractor will contact the contract administrator to review and approve the fencing and its location prior to commencement of any grading work.

- Areas within the TPZ are not to be used for any type of storage (e.g. storage of debris, construction material, surplus soils, and construction equipment). No trenching or tunneling for underground services shall be located within the TPZ or dripline of trees designated for preservation within or adjacent to the construction zone.
- No grade changes shall occur within TPZ unless approved as part of this report. If any grade changes may occur, either as a cut or fill situation, the consulting certified arborist must be notified prior to such work occurring to ensure that all precautions to preserve the tree are made.
- Trees shall not have any rigging cables or hardware of any sort attached or wrapped around them, nor shall any contaminants be dumped within the protective areas. Further, no contaminants shall be dumped or flushed where they may come into contact with the feeder roots of the trees.
- If it is necessary to remove additional limbs or portions of trees after construction has commenced, to accommodate the construction, the consulting certified arborist is to be informed and under their direction the removal is to be executed carefully and in full accordance with arboricultural techniques, by a certified arborist.

## 7.2 AIR-SPADE / HYDRO-VACUUM EXCAVATION

Where excavation will occur within the softscape boulevard and TPZs will be reduced, air-spade excavation is recommended to minimize the damage to roots within a TPZ.

This measure is to be used for all trees requiring root pruning (118 trees). Refer to Table 6.2 – Root Pruning Table. Prior to excavation and construction the following measures are to be applied:

- At the limit of the TPZ and proposed grading or construction activity, hydro-vacuum excavate to a depth of 300mm along the length of the TPZ distance and at a width of 0.5m to expose roots;
- Ensure that the pressure used from the hydro-vacuum is such that it will not damage roots during excavation;
- Prune any roots in this area using good arboricultural practices per the guidelines in this report or under the supervision of a certified arborist;
- Backfill with excavated material and reinstate to original condition or better;
- Upon completion reinstate tree protection fencing to original location;
- Water trees periodically during construction;
- Restore disturbed areas with a layer of 75mm depth mulch in a 2m radius around the trees.
- It is recommended that this measure be applied while a certified arborist is present.

## 7.3 ROOT PRUNING PRACTICES

- All approved root pruning is to take place by or under the supervision of an certified arborist and in accordance with the Toronto Tree Protection Specifications.
- Pruned root ends shall be neatly and squarely trimmed, and the area shall be backfilled with clean native fill as soon as possible to prevent desiccation and promote root growth.
- The exposed roots shall not be allowed to dry out and an appropriate watering schedule shall be undertaken (e.g. water bi-weekly to field capacity between **June 1st and September 15th**) so that the roots maintain optimum soil moisture during construction and backfilling operations.
- Backfilling shall occur immediately and shall be with clean uncontaminated topsoil from an approved source. It is recommended that texture of backfill be coarser than existing soils, and that backfill comes into clean contact with existing soils (remove air pockets, sod, etc.)
- Pruning to be conducted by a certified arborist.

## 7.4 BRANCH PRUNING PRACTICES

- All limbs damaged or broken during the course of construction should be pruned cleanly, utilizing by-pass secateurs in accordance with approved horticultural practices. Should there be a potential risk of transfer of disease from infected to non-infected trees, tools must be disinfected after pruning each tree by dipping in methyl hydrate. This practice is particularly important during periods of tree stress and when pruning many members of the same genera, within which a disease could be spread quickly (i.e., Verticillium Wilt on Maples or Fireblight on genera of the Rosaceae family).
- All pruning cuts should be made to a growing point such as a bud, twig or branch, cut just outside the branch collar (the swollen area at the base of the branch that sometimes has a bark ridge), and perpendicular to the branch being pruned rather than as close to the trunk as possible. This minimizes the site of the wound. No stubs should be left. Poor cut location, poor cut angle and torn cuts are not acceptable.
- Extensive pruning is best completed before plants break dormancy. Pruning should be limited to the removal of no more than 25% of the total bud and leaf bearing branches. Pruning should include the careful removal of:
  - Deadwood;
  - branches that are weak, damaged, diseased and those which will interfere with construction activity,
  - secondary leaders of conifers,
  - trunk and root suckers,
  - trunk waterspouts, and
  - tight V-shaped or weak crotches (included unions).
- Any branches that overhang the work area and require pruning are to be pruned using good arboricultural practices utilizing by-pass secateurs in accordance with approved horticultural practices and/or American National Standard (ANSI) A300 (Part 1) 2008 Pruning.
- The Contractor must report immediately any damage to trees such as broken limbs, damage to roots, or wounds to the main trunk or stem systems so that the damage can be assessed immediately.
- Pruning to be conducted by a certified arborist.

## 7.5 MIGRATORY BIRD PROTECTION:

- To reduce the possibility of contravention of the MBCA, vegetation removal should be scheduled to occur outside of the overall bird nesting season of **April 1 to August 31**. Some birds may nest before and after this peak bird nesting season due to annual seasonal fluctuations. If a nest of a migratory bird is found within the construction area outside of this nesting period, it still receives protection.
  - In addition to the bird nesting season, tree removals should also occur outside of the active period for bats (e.g. up to the end of September), therefore considering the bird nesting and bat active seasons, clearing of trees is permitted between **October 1 to March 31**.
- If vegetation must be removed during the overall bird nesting season:
  - Nest and nesting activity searches will be conducted in areas defined as simple habitat (i.e., the CUM1-1 community) by a qualified Biologist no more than 24 hours prior to vegetation removal. Nesting activity will be documented when it consists of confirmed breeding evidence, as defined by OBBA criteria (Cadman, 2009).
  - If an active nest or confirmed nesting activity of a migratory bird is observed in simple habitat, regardless of the timing window recommended, a species-specific buffer area following ECCC guidelines will be applied to the nest or confirmed nesting activity wherein no vegetation removal will be permitted until the young have fledged from the nest. The radius of the buffer will depend on species, level of disturbance and

landscape context (ECCC 2018), which will be confirmed by a qualified Biologist, but will protect a minimum of 10 m around the nest or nesting activity.

- The results of all nest searches will be documented at the end of each survey day in a Technical Memorandum, including information on the searcher, date, time conducted, weather conditions, habitat type, vegetation community type, observations of breeding activity, observations of confirmed nests including co-ordinates, and, if required, the buffer applied to identified breeding/nesting sites.
- If vegetation removal must occur in complex habitats within the above-listed timing windows and absolutely cannot be avoided, the same Best Management Practices (BMPs) such as nest and nesting activity searches described above will be undertaken.

## 7.6 CONSTRUCTION IMPLEMENTATION

- Prior to construction, a site meeting shall be held with the Contractor and Contract Administrator to review the clearing limits and confirm the installation location for the temporary tree protection fence.
- Tree protection barriers shall be clearly staked in the field and approved by the Contract Administrator prior to construction to ensure correct positioning of fencing and avoid unnecessary disturbance.
- To avoid root zone impacts on trees to be retained, excavated material shall not be stored against the tree protection barrier.
- All removals should be felled into the work area to ensure that damage does not occur to the trees within the TPZ. Upon completion of the tree removals, all felled trees are to be removed from the site, and all brush chipped. All brush, roots and wood debris should be shredded into pieces that are smaller than 25 mm in size to ensure that any insect pests that could be present within the wood are destroyed.

# 8 CONCLUSION

Trees within the study area are a mix of deciduous and coniferous trees ranging from young, semi-mature and mature trees. Trees in the northern portion of the study area are primarily naturally occurring within farmland and wooded areas while those in the central and southern portions are primarily planted and within residential and commercial areas. Most trees are situated on Town property or on the front lawns of private property. Additionally, several sections of the study area are within LSRCA limits.

Impacts to trees in proximity to the proposed works will be quite high and will require the removal of three hundred and forty-nine (349) trees. In addition to tree removals, one-hundred and twenty (120) trees will require root pruning and air-spade / hydro-vacuum excavation. Given the implementation of the mitigation measures enclosed in this report, including protection of trees beyond the construction limits, significant impacts to trees to be preserved are not anticipated.

Vegetation has been recommended to be retained or preserved beyond the construction limits. Proposed mitigation measures will minimize the detrimental effects from construction activities and will help to ensure that good tree health will continue. Care should be taken to protect trees to be preserved with tree protection fencing as illustrated on the attached plans. Tree protection fencing shall be erected prior to the start of the proposed works and maintained for the duration of the work. Priority should be given to protecting vegetation that will not be impacted by grading and construction as this vegetation along property lines provides a visual barrier, shade, noise and wind buffer between properties.

# 9 LITERATURE CITED

- County of Simcoe. April 13, 2021. By-law No. 6894 of the Corporation of the County of Simcoe.
- Lily, Sharon. J. 2010. Arborists' Certification Study Guide. International Society of Arboriculture.
- Cadman, M.D., D.A. Sutherland, G.G. Beck, D. Lepage and A.R. Couturier (eds). 2007. Atlas of the Breeding Birds of Ontario 2001-2005. Bird Studies Canada, Environment Canada, Ontario field Ornithologists, Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources, and Ontario Nature, Toronto, xxii + 706pp.
- Canadian Food Inspection Agency. January 14, 2021 (5<sup>th</sup> Revision). D-03-08: Phytosanitary Requirements to Prevent the Introduction Into and Spread Within Canada of the Emerald Ash Borer, Agrilus planipennis (Fairmaire).
- Canadian Food Inspection Agency. Areas Regulated for the Emerald Ash Borer. Mapping. Date Modified: 2021-03-16. <u>https://www.inspection.gc.ca/plant-health/plant-pests-invasive-species/directives/forest-products/d-03-08/areas-regulated/eng/1347625322705/1347625453892</u>
- Environment and Climate Change Canada. Guidelines to Reduce Risk to Migratory Birds. Last modified on October 30, 2018.
- Government of Canada. 1994. Migratory Birds Convention Act, S.C. 1994, c. 22.
- Government of Canada. Migratory Birds Regulations. C.R.C., c. 1035. Last amended on May 30, 2018.
- Government of Ontario. 2007. Endangered Species Act, 2007, S.O. 2007, c. 6.
- Tree Care Industry Association. 2008. ANSI-A300-Part 1. Tree Shrub and Other Woody Plant Management Standard Practices. Pruning.

# **10 LIMITATIONS OF ASSESSMENT**

- It is our policy to attach the following clause regarding limitations. We do this to ensure that the client is aware of what is technically and professionally realistic in retaining trees.
- The assessment of the trees presented in this report has been made using accepted arboricultural techniques. These include a visual examination of all the above ground parts of the tree for structural defects, scars, external indications of decay such as fungal fruiting bodies, evidence of attack by insects, discoloured foliage, the condition of any visible root structures, the degree and direction of lean (if any), the general condition of the trees and the surrounding site, and the proximity of property and people. Except where specifically noted, the trees were not cored, probed or climbed and there was no detailed inspection of the root crowns involving excavations.
- Notwithstanding the recommendations and conclusions made in this report, it must be recognized that trees are living organisms, and their health and vigour constantly change over time. They are not immune to changes in site conditions or seasonal variations in the weather conditions.
- While reasonable efforts have been made to ensure that the subject trees are healthy, no guarantees are offered, or implied, that these trees or any of their parts will remain standing. It is both professionally and practically impossible to predict with absolute certainty the behaviour of any single tree or its component parts under all circumstances. Inevitably, a standing tree will always pose some level of risk. Most trees have the potential for failure under adverse weather conditions, and the risk can only be eliminated if the tree is removed.



# A TREE PRESERVATION TABLES

	Appendix A: Tree Preservation Tables														
Project:	oject: 25th Sideroad Innisfil Field Work Completed By: Tiffany Waters (ON-2590A) and Avery Tyrell, and Carlene Perkin														
Date of Field	Vate of Field Work:         October 18-20, 26, and 29, 2021         Weather:         Approx 7C, sun and cloud														
Tree Condition	n Assessment Criteria:		Tree Condition:												
TI - Trunk Inte	grity: assessment of the t	runk for any defects or weaknesse	25.			Good (G): tre	e displays	less than	15% deficiency/	defect within the	given tree asses	sment criteria	(TI,CS,CV)		
CS - Canopy St	ructure: assessment of so	caffold branches, unions and canor	ру			Fair (F): tree	displays 15	5-40% def	iciency/defect w	ithin the given tre	e assessment cr	iteria (TI,CS,CV	)		
CV - Canopy v	igour: assessment of the I	health of the tree, based on the %	of deadwood, disease, pests & live	crown		Poor (P): tree	e displays g	greater th	an 40% deficienc	y/defect within t	he given tree ass	essment criter	ia (TI,CS,CV)		
Colour Coding	Legend:														
	Trees to be Retained			Trees to l	be Removed				Minimum TPZ r	eduction					
	Trees to be Preserved								Trees to be Pru	ned					
Tree #	Code	Botanical Name	Common Name	Qty.	DBH (cm)	ті	CS	cv	Dripline Radius (m)	Height (m)	Tree Location /	Tree Protection	Recommendation	Comments - Health	Comments - Removal/Preservation
501	FRAX_SP	Fraxinus sp.	Ash sp.	1	109	F	F	Ρ	8	14	Town	6.54	Remove	Peeled bark, EAB, dead and broken branches, almost zero canopy	Encroachment into the root zone and poor health.
502	FRAX_SP	Fraxinus sp.	Ash sp.	1	MS: 31,15,20	Р	Р	Р	5	14	Town	2.4	Remove	Multi stem at base, potentially dead, no canopy, peeling bark, EAB	Encroachment into the root zone and poor health.
503	ULMUAME	Ulmus americana	American Elm	1	MS: 17,17	F	G	G	4	13	Town	1.8	Preserve/TPZ reduction	Multi stem at 0.75 m	
A1	ACERSAS	Acer saccharum	Sugar Maple	1	95	Р	Р	F	7	16	Private	6	Preserve/Prune/TPZ reduction	Canopy overhanging public property to road, trunk wounds, missing bark, fruiting bodies, lots of dead broken branches, lots of cavities, not much canopy	
A2	TILIAME	Tilia americana	American Basswood	1	MS: 95,105	F	G	G	8	16	Private	6.3	Preserve/Prune/TPZ reduction	Multi stem at base, canopy overhanging road, slight lean	
TG1	PINUSTR	Pinus strobus	Eastern White Pine	10	40 to 50	G-F	G-F	G-F	Up to 7m	16	Private	3	Remove	Canopies overhanging road/gravel on side of road	Encroachment into the root zone
504	ACERSAS	Acer saccharum	Sugar Maple	1	27	G	G	G	4	13	Town	1.8	Preserve/Prune/TPZ reduction		
A3	PINUSTR	Pinus strobus	Eastern White Pine	1	30	G	G	G	5	11	Private	2.4	Remove	Topped under powerlines, on other side of ditch/creek, didn't tag	Encroachment into the root zone
A4	THUJOCC	Thuja occidentalis	Eastern White Cedar	1	17	G	G	G	2.5	6	Private	1.8	Remove	On other side of ditch/creek, didn't tag	Encroachment into the root zone
TG2	THUJOCC	Thuja occidentalis	Eastern White Cedar	5	10 to 20	G	G	G	2.5	6	Private	1.8	Preserve/Prune/TPZ reduction	Topped under powerlines	
TG2	PINUSTR	Pinus strobus	Eastern White Pine	2	10 to 20	G	G	G	5	Up to 8	Private	1.8	Preserve/Prune/TPZ reduction	Topped under powerlines	
A5	PINUSTR	Pinus strobus	Eastern White Pine	1	47	G	G	G	8	16	Private	3	Preserve/Prune/TPZ reduction	Canopy overhanging ROW	
A6	PINUSTR	Pinus strobus	Eastern White Pine	1	46	G	G	G	8	16	Private	3	Preserve/Prune/TPZ reduction	Canopy overhanging ROW	
A7	ACERNEG	Acer negundo	Manitoba Maple	1	MS: 18,22,22	F	G	G	4	10	Private	1.8	Preserve/Prune	Multi stem at base	
A8	PINUSTR	Pinus strobus	Eastern White Pine	1	37	G	G	G	7	13	Private	2.4	Preserve/Prune	Canopy overhangs ROW	
A9	ACERSAS	Acer saccharum	Sugar Maple	1	MS: 18,20	F	F	F	3	10	Private	1.8	Remove	Multi stem at 0.5 m, not much canopy, didn't tag on other side of ditch /on front lawn	Encroachment into the root zone
A10	ACERSAS	Acer saccharum	Sugar Maple	1	25	G	G	F	4	10	Private	1.8	Remove	Missing some canopy, didn't tag on other side of ditch /on front lawn	Encroachment into the root zone
505	POPU_SP	Populus sp.	Poplar sp.	1	MS: 25,30	F	G	G	4	14	Town	2.4	Retain	Multi stem at base, slight lean	
506	POPU_SP	Populus sp.	Poplar sp.	1	18	F	G	G	2	10	Town	1.8	Retain	Slight lean	
507	POPU_SP	Populus sp.	Poplar sp.	1	24	F	G	G	3	14	Town	1.8	Retain	Slight lean	
508	POPU_SP	Populus sp.	Poplar sp.	1	30	F	G	G	3	14	Town	2.4	Retain	Slight lean	
509	POPU_SP	Populus sp.	Poplar sp.	1	24	F	G	G	2.5	14	Town	1.8	Retain	Slight lean	
A11	ROBIPSE	Robinia pseudoacacia	Black Locust	1	MS: 7,10	F	G	G	4	8	Town	1.8	Preserve	Multi stem at 0.5 m, didn't tag on other side of creek	Encroachment into the root zone
A12	ROBIPSE	Robinia pseudoacacia	Black Locust	1	MS: 8,12,16	F	G	G	4	10	Private	1.8	Remove	Multi stem at 0.5 m, didn't tag on other side of creek	Encroachment into the root zone
A13	ROBIPSE	Robinia pseudoacacia	Black Locust	1	MS: 10,12	F	G	G	4	10	Town	1.8	Remove	Multi stem at 0.5 m, didn't tag on other side of creek	Encroachment into the root zone
A14	ROBIPSE	Robinia pseudoacacia	Black Locust	1	MS: 11,19	F	G	G	4	10	Town	1.8	Remove	Multi stem at 0.5 m, didn't tag on other side of creek	Encroachment into the root zone
A15	BETUPAP	Betula papyrifera	Paper Birch	1	MS: 22,15,12	F	G	G	3.5	10	Private	1.8	Preserve	Multi stem at base, didn't tag, on other side of creek/on font lawn	
A16	QUERRUB	Quercus rubra	Northern Red Oak	1	48	G	G	G	6	14	Town	3	Preserve/Prune/TPZ reduction	Didn't tag, on property line/in front lawn	
A17	PINUSTR	Pinus strobus	Eastern White Pine	1	52	G	G	G	6	16	Private	3.6	Retain	Didn't tag, on property line/in front lawn	
A18	ACERSAC	Acer saccharinum	Silver Maple	1	48	F	G	G	6	16	Private	3	Retain	Didn't tag, on property line/in front lawn, leaning	
A19	ACERSAC	Acer saccharinum	Silver Maple	1	52	G	G	G	6	16	Private	3.6	Retain	Didn't tag, on property line/in front lawn	
A20	ACERSAC	Acer saccharinum	Silver Maple	1	MS: 42, 23	F	G	G	8	16	Private	3	Retain	Didn't tag, on property line/in front lawn, multi stem at 1m	
A21	PICEGLA	Picea glauca	White Spruce	1	30	G	G	G	5	15	Private	2.4	Preserve/Prune/TPZ reduction	Didn't tag, on property line/in front lawn	

							Anne	ndix	A: Tree	Preserva	ation Tal	bles			
Project:	25th Sideroad Innisfil			Field V	Vork Completed By	Tiffany Wat	ers (ON-3	2590A) a	nd Avery Tyrell	and Carlene P	erkin	0100			
Date of Field	d Work: October 18-2	0 26 and 29 2021		Weathe	er:	Approx 7C.	sun and o	cloud	ind interior of the second	, and carrenter	crian				
Tree Conditio	n Assessment Criteria:	.0, 20, 414 20, 2021		1		Tree Conditio	n:								
TI - Trunk Inte	erity: assessment of the t	runk for any defects or weaknesses	5.			Good (G): tre	e displays	less than	15% deficiency/c	lefect within the	given tree asses	sment criteria	(TI.CS.CV)		
CS - Canopy St	tructure: assessment of sc	affold branches, unions and canop	v			Fair (F): tree o	displays 15	-40% def	iciencv/defect wi	thin the given tre	e assessment cr	iteria (TI.CS.CV	/)		
CV - Canopy v	igour: assessment of the h	health of the tree, based on the % of	of deadwood, disease, pests & live	crown		Poor (P): tree	displays	reater the	an 40% deficienc	v/defect within t	he given tree ass	essment criter	, ria (TI.CS.CV)		
Colour Codine	z Legend:							,		,,					
	Trees to be Retained			Trees to	be Removed				Minimum TPZ re	duction			1		
	Trees to be Preserved								Trees to be Prur	ned					
Tree #	Code	Botanical Name	Common Name	Qty.	DBH (cm)	ті	CS	cv	Dripline Radius (m)	Height (m)	Tree Location /	Tree Protection	Recommendation	Comments - Health	Comments - Removal/Preservation
A22	PICEPUN	Picea pungens	Blue Spruce	1	30	G	G	Р	4	15	Private	2.4	Preserve/Prune/TPZ reduction	Didn't tag, on property line/in front lawn.	
A23	ACERPLA	Acer platanoides	Norway Maple	1	30	G	G	G	4	13	Private	2.4	Preserve/Prune/TPZ reduction	Didn't tag, on property line/in front lawn	
A24		Morus alba 'Pendula'	Weeping Mulberry	1	10	G	G	G	1.5	4	Private	1.8	Preserve	Didn't tag, on property line/in front lawn	
A25	MALU_SP	Malus sp.	Apple sp.	1	11	G	G	G	3	5	Town	1.8	Preserve	Didn't tag, on property line/in front lawn	
A26	ACERSAC	Acer saccharinum	Silver Maple	1	MS: 11,12,14,11,18,2 2	F	G	G	5	15	Town	1.8	Preserve/Prune	Didn't tag, on property line/in front lawn, multi stem at base	
A27	ULMUAME	Ulmus americana	American Elm	1	MS: 18,30,32	F	G	G	6	16	Town	2.4	Preserve/Prune	Didn't tag, on property line/in front lawn	
A28	PICEPUN	Picea pungens	Blue Spruce	1	30	G	F	G	4	7	Town	2.4	Remove	Didn't tag, on property line/in front lawn, topped under powerlines	Encroachment into the root zone
A29	PICEPUN	Picea pungens	Blue Spruce	1	32	G	F	G	4	7	Town	2.4	Remove	Didn't tag, on property line/in front lawn, topped under powerlines	Encroachment into the root zone
A30	PICEPUN	Picea pungens	Blue Spruce	1	30	G	F	G	4	7	Town	2.4	Preserve/Prune/TPZ reduction	Didn't tag, on property line/in front lawn,	
A31	ACERSAC	Acer saccharinum	Silver Maple	1	MS: 11,45,25	F	G	G	7	16	Town	3	Preserve	Didn't tag, on property line/in front lawn, multi stem at 0.5 m	
A32	ACERSAC	Acer saccharinum	Silver Maple	1	49	F	G	G	7	16	Town	3	Preserve	Didn't tag, on property line/in front lawn,	
TG3	THUJOCC	Thuia occidentalis	Fastern White Cedar	3	10 to 19	G to F	G	G	Un to 3	Un to 14	Town	1.8	Remove	Some multi stem. TG on fence line	Encroachment into the root zone
105				<u> </u>	10 10 15	0.01			001000	001014	100011	1.0	Kentove	Missing canopy - unsure if just due to	
TG3		Ulmus americana	American Elm	1	18 20 to 20	G	G	F	4	13	Town	1.8	Remove	season	Encroachment into the root zone
TG3		Illmus americana	American Elm		201025	5 (01) F	6	6	4	14	Town	1.0	Remove	Lean	Encroachment into the root zone
103	DEMOANE	Acor cascharum	Sugar Maple	1	23		6	G	2	14	Brivato	2.4	Remove	Didn't tag, in front lawn, trunk wounds -	Encroachment into the root zone
A35	ACERSAS				30		0	6	3	10	Private	2.4	Remove	looks like remnants of broken stem	
A34	ACERSAS	Acer saccharum	Sugar Maple	1	MS: 15, 36	+	G	G	4	16	Private	2.4	Remove	Didn't tag, in front lawn, multi stem at 0.5 m	Encroachment into the root zone
A35	THUJOCC	Thuja occidentalis	Eastern White Cedar	1	18	F	G	G	3	9	Town	1.8	Remove	Didn't tag, on property line/in front lawn, slight lean, topped under powerlines	Encroachment into the root zone
TG4	THUJOCC	Thuja occidentalis	Eastern White Cedar	14	10 to 19	G to F	G	G		Up to 12	Private	1.8	Remove	Some multi stem	Encroachment into the root zone
TG4	PINUSYL	Pinus sylvestris	Scots Pine	2	10 to 19	G to F	G	G		Up to 12	Town	1.8	Remove	Some multi stem	Encroachment into the root zone
TG4	PINUSYL	Pinus sylvestris	Scots Pine	1	20 to 29	F	F	F		Up to 12	Town	1.8	Remove	Topped under powerlines	Encroachment into the root zone
A36	PICEPUN	Picea pungens	Blue Spruce	1	30	G	G	G	4	16	Private	2.4	Remove	Didn't tag, on property line/in front lawn	Encroachment into the root zone
510	THUJOCC	Thuja occidentalis	Eastern White Cedar	1	15	G	G	G	3	11	Town	1.8	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
A37	PICEPUN	Picea pungens	Blue Spruce	1	10	G	G	G	2.5	8	Town	1.8	Retain	Didn't tag, on property line/in front lawn	
A38	ACERPLA	Acer platanoides	Norway Maple	1	11	G	G	G	2.5	7	Private	1.8	Preserve	Didn't tag, on property line/in front lawn Didn't tag, on property line/in front lawn,	
AS9	ACERPLA			1	1015: 12,14		6	6	3	10	Private	1.0	Preserve/Prune	multi stem at base Didn't tag, on property line/in front lawn,	
A40	MALU_SP	ivialus sp.	Apple sp.	1	MS: 18,21, 23	F	G	G	2	10	Private	1.8	Preserve	multi stem at base	
511		Aesculus hippocastanum	Horse Chestnut	1	37	G	G	F	4	12	Private	2.4	Remove	Lots of leaves browning and dry	Encroachment into the root zone
512	THUIOCC	Thuig accidentalia	Factorn White Coder	1	24 MS: 12.11	G F	G	r C	3	12	Private	1.8	Remove	Aulti ctom at base	Encroachment into the root zone
513	THULOCC	Thuju occidentalis	Eastern White Cedar	1	12	r C	G	G	2	12	Private	1.8	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
514	THULOCC	Thuja occidentalis	Eastern White Cedar	1	12	6	G	G	2	12	Private	1.8	Remove	Multi stom at hasa	Encroachment into the root zone
515	THULOCC	Thuju occidentalis	Eastern White Cedar	1	IVIS: 11, /	r C	G	G	2	12	Private	1.8	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
516	DINUSVI	Thuja occidentalis	Eastern White Cedar	1	14	G	G	G	2	12	Private	1.8	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
165	THUIOCC	Thuis sylvestris	Scots PINE	2	10 to 19	6		G	3	12	Town	1.8	Retain	Multistem at hase	
165	THULOCC	Thuia accidentalis	Eastern White Cedar	10	10 t0 19	F		6	<u> </u>	12	Town	1.8	Retain	Iviuiti stem at base	
166		i nuja occiaentalis	Eastern White Cedar	10	10 to 19	F	G	G	Up to 3	/	Town	1.8	Retain	iviuiti stem at base	For some share set into the set of
517		Picea pungens	Blue Spruce	1	11	G	G	G	2	7	Town	1.8	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
A41	AUEKSAS	Acer saccharum	Sugar Maple	1	42	F	G	G	5	15	Town	3	Remove	l Y at 4 m	Encroachment into the root zone
A42	THUJOCC	Inuja occidentalis	Eastern White Cedar	1	14	F	G	G	3	8	Town	1.8	Remove	Slight lean	Encroachment into the root zone
A43	THUJOCC	Thuja occidentalis	Eastern White Cedar	1	10	G	G	G	3	8	Town	1.8	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
A44	THUJUCC	Thuja occidentalis	Eastern White Cedar	1	12	G	G	G	3	10	Town	1.8	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
A45	PINUSTR	Pinus strobus	Eastern White Pine	1	14	G	G	Ρ	2	14	Private	1.8	Preserve	Didn't tag, on property line/in front lawn, needles all dead or missing	

						4	Appe	naix	A: I ree	Preserva	ation la	DIES			
Project:	25th Sideroad Innisfil			Field W	/ork Completed By:	Tiffany Wat	ers (ON-2	2590A) a	nd Avery Tyrel	, and Carlene P	erkin				
Date of Fiel	d Work: October 18-2	0, 26, and 29, 2021		Weathe	er:	Approx /C,	sun and o	cloud							
The Condition	n Assessment Criteria:					Coord (C): tro	o <u>n:</u> o diselaus	less these	150/ deficiency/						
CS - Canony S	tructure: assessment of the ti	affold branches unions and canor	5. W			Eair (E): tree (	displays	1ess trian	15% deficiency/	ithin the given tre	given tree asses	riteria (TLCS CV	(11,CS,CV)		
CV - Canopy S	igour: assessment of the h	health of the tree, based on the %	of deadwood, disease, pests & live (	crown		Poor (P): tree	displays 1	reater th	an 40% deficienc	v/defect within t	he given tree ass	sessment criter	ia (TLCS.CV)		
Colour Codine	z Legend:					1001 (1): 100	aispidys g	sicutor the	an 4070 dentelent	gradiet than a	ine given tree use		10 (11)(03)(04)		
	Trees to be Retained			Trees to	be Removed				Minimum TPZ r	eduction					
	Trees to be Preserved								Trees to be Pru	ned					
Tree #	Code	Botanical Name	Common Name	Qty.	DBH (cm)	TI	CS	cv	Dripline Radius (m)	Height (m)	Tree Location /	Tree Protection	Recommendation	Comments - Health	Comments - Removal/Preservation
A46	PINUSTR	Pinus strobus	Eastern White Pine	1	35	G	G	G	6	15	Private	2.4	Preserve/Prune/TPZ reduction	Didn't tag, on property line/in front lawn	
A47	PINUSTR	Pinus strobus	Eastern White Pine	1	14	G	G	F	2	14	Private	1.8	Preserve	Didn't tag, on property line/in front lawn, some needles dead or missing	
A48	FRAX_SP	Fraxinus sp.	Ash sp.	1	MS: 3,4,5,8,15,18	Р	Р	Р	4	15	Town	1.8	Remove	Mostly dead, some sprouts at base	Encroachment into the root zone and poor health.
A49	PICEABI	Picea abies	Norway Spruce	1	30	G	G	G	4	15	Private	2.4	Preserve		
A50	FRAX_SP	Fraxinus sp.	Ash sp.	1	10	Р	Р	Р	3.5	10	Town	1.8	Remove	Mostly dead	Encroachment into the root zone and poor health.
A51	тнијосс	Thuja occidentalis	Eastern White Cedar	1	18	G	G	G	4	10	Private	1.8	Preserve/Prune/TPZ reduction		
A52	PINUSYL	Pinus sylvestris	Scots Pine	1	30	G	G	G	4	14	Town	2.4	Preserve/Prune/TPZ reduction	Didn't tag, on property line/in front lawn	
A53	тнијосс	Thuja occidentalis	Eastern White Cedar	1	MS: 10,12,28,15,18	F	G	G	4	14	Town	1.8	Retain	Didn't tag, on property line/in front lawn, multi stem at base	
A54	PINUSYL	Pinus sylvestris	Scots Pine	1	25	G	F	G	6	14	Town	1.8	Preserve/Prune	Crown to one side, pruned under hydro line	
A55	PINUSYL	Pinus sylvestris	Scots Pine	1	22	G	F	G	6	13	Town	1.8	Preserve/Prune/TPZ reduction	Crown to one side, pruned under hydro line	
A56	PINUSYL	Pinus sylvestris	Scots Pine	1	16	G	F	F	6	9	Town	1.8	Preserve/Prune/TPZ reduction	Crown to one side, pruned under hydro line	
A57	PINUSYL	Pinus sylvestris	Scots Pine	1	14	G	F	G	2	13	Town	1.8	Preserve/Prune/TPZ reduction	Galls/large bumps on most branches	
A58	BETUPAP	Betula papyrifera	Paper Birch	1	MS: 17, 23	F	G	F	4	15	Town	1.8	Preserve	Crown missing on one stem	
A59	FRAX_SP	Fraxinus sp.	Ash sp.	1	15	Ρ	Р	Р	4	12	Town	1.8	Remove	Didn't tag, on property line/in front lawn, almost dead	Encroachment into the root zone and poor health.
A60	ACERSAS	Acer saccharum	Sugar Maple	1	43	F	F	F	5	14	Town	3	Remove	Multi stem at 1.5 m, lots of dieback in upper branches	Encroachment into the root zone
A61	PICEGLA	Picea glauca	White Spruce	1	24	G	G	G	4	14	Town	1.8	Remove	Didn't tag, on property line/in front lawn	Encroachment into the root zone
A62	ACERRUB	Acer rubrum	Red Maple	1	20	G	G	G	5	14	Town	1.8	Remove	Didn't tag, on property line/in front lawn	Encroachment into the root zone
A63	тнијосс	Thuja occidentalis	Eastern White Cedar	1	MS: 10,10,12,14,12,1 2,14	F	G	G	4	12	Private	1.8	Preserve	Didn't tag, on property line/in front lawn	
A64	тнијосс	Thuja occidentalis	Eastern White Cedar	1	30	G	G	G	5	12	Town	2.4	Preserve/Prune/TPZ reduction	Didn't tag, on property line/in front lawn	
A65	PICEPUN	Picea pungens	Blue Spruce	1	27	G	G	G	2.5	13	Private	1.8	Retain	Didn't tag, on property line/in front lawn	
A66	PICEPUN	Picea pungens	Blue Spruce	1	26	G	G	G	2.5	13	Private	1.8	Retain	Didn't tag, on property line/in front lawn	
A67	PICEPUN	Picea pungens	Blue Spruce	1	23	G	G	G	2.5	13	Private	1.8	Retain	Didn't tag, on property line/in front lawn	
A68	FRAX_SP	Fraxinus sp.	Ash sp.	1	MS: 10,10,11,11	Р	Р	Р	3	10	Town	1.8	Retain	Didn't tag, on property line/in front lawn, mostly dead	
A69	POPU_SP	Populus sp.	Poplar sp.	1	70	G	F	G	7	17	Town	4.2	Remove	Some dieback	Encroachment into the root zone
A70	POPU_SP	Populus sp.	Poplar sp.	1	65	G	F	G	6	17	Town	4.2	Remove	Some dieback	Encroachment into the root zone
A71	POPU_SP	Populus sp.	Poplar sp.	1	65	F	F	G	6	17	Town	4.2	Remove	Slight lean, one cut stem, some dead branches	Encroachment into the root zone
518	PICEPUN	Picea pungens	Blue Spruce	1	32	G	G	G	4	13	Town	2.4	Retain		
519	PICEPUN	Picea pungens	Blue Spruce	1	37	G	G	G	4	13	Town	2.4	Preserve		
520	PINUSYL	Pinus sylvestris	Scots Pine	1	25	G	F	G	3	11	Town	1.8	Remove	Top broken	Encroachment into the root zone
521	PINUSYL	Pinus sylvestris	Scots Pine	1	32	G	G	G	3.5	13	Town	2.4	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
522	PICEGLA	Picea glauca	White Spruce	1	22	G	G	G	3.5	12	Town	1.8	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
523	PINUSYL	Pinus sylvestris	Scots Pine	1	29	G	G	G	3.5	13	Town	1.8	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
524	PINUSYL	Pinus sylvestris	Scots Pine	1	23	G	G	G	3	13	Town	1.8	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
525	PICEGLA	Picea glauca	White Spruce	1	18	G	G	G	2	13	Town	1.8	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
A72	PICEGLA	Picea glauca	White Spruce	1	18	G	G	G	3	13	Private	1.8	Preserve/Prune	Didn't tag, on property line/in front lawn	
A73	PICEGLA	Picea glauca	White Spruce	1	20	G	G	G	3	13	Private	1.8	Preserve/Prune	Didn't tag, on property line/in front lawn	
A74	PICEGLA	Picea glauca	White Spruce	1	26	G	G	G	3	13	Private	1.8	Preserve/Prune/TPZ reduction	Didn't tag, on property line/in front lawn	
A75	PICEGLA	Picea glauca	White Spruce	1	28	G	G	G	3	14	Private	1.8	Preserve/Prune/TPZ reduction	Didn't tag, on property line/in front lawn	
A76	PICEGLA	Picea glauca	White Spruce	1	31	G	G	G	4	14	Private	2.4	Preserve/Prune/TPZ reduction	Didn't tag, on property line/in front lawn	

							Appe	endix	A: I ree	Preserva	ation I a	DIES			
Project:	25th Sideroad Innisfil			Field V	Vork Completed By:	Tiffany Wat	ters (ON-	2590A) a	nd Avery Tyrel	l, and Carlene P	erkin				
Date of Fiel	d Work: October 18-2	20, 26, and 29, 2021		Weath	er:	Approx 7C,	sun and	cloud							
Tree Conditio	n Assessment Criteria:					Tree Conditio	on:								
TI - Trunk Inte	egrity: assessment of the t	runk for any defects or weaknesse	S.			Good (G): tre	e displays	less than	15% deficiency/	defect within the	given tree asses	sment criteria	(TI,CS,CV)		
CS - Canopy S	tructure: assessment of so	affold branches, unions and canop	by			Fair (F): tree	displays 1	5-40% defi	iciency/defect w	ithin the given tre	e assessment cr	riteria (TI,CS,CV	/) . (=:		
Cv - Canopy V	igour: assessment of the r	realth of the tree, based on the %	of deadwood, disease, pests & live	crown		Poor (P): tree	e displays j	greater tha	an 40% deticienc	cy/defect within t	ne given tree ass	sessment criter	1a (11,CS,CV)		
Colour Couling	Treas to be Retained			Troop to	he Romoved				Minimum TD7 r	aduction			1		
	Trees to be Preserved			Tiees to	be kemoveu				Trees to be Pru	ned					
Tree #	Code	Botanical Name	Common Name	Qty.	DBH (cm)	ті	CS	cv	Dripline Radius (m)	Height (m)	Tree Location /	Tree	Recommendation	Comments - Health	Comments - Removal/Preservation
A77	тнилосс	Thuig accidentalic	Eastorn White Codar	1	25	G	G	G	4	12	Town	2.4	Romovo		Encroschment into the root zone
A77	PRUN SP	Brunus cn	Chorpy cp	1	55 MC+14.16	G	G	G	4 E	7	Town	1.0	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
A70		Illmus amoricana	Amorican Elm	1	MC: 22.10	с С		G	5	14	Town	2.4	Remove	Loan at ton, multi stom at base	Encroachment into the root zone
A79 A80		Prunus sp.	Cherry sp.	1	MS: 5,8,10	F	F	F	5	7	Town	1.8	Remove	Leaning, crown to one side, some dieback	Encroachment into the root zone
4.91	PRUN_SP	Diaga alauga	Mhite Coruce	1	20	6		6	2	12	Tauun	1.0	Domouo		Engraphic provide the rest response
A01		Piceu giuucu	White Spruce		20	G			2	12	Town	1.0	Remove	Tenned under neuverlines	Encroachment into the root zone
A62		Piceu giuucu Rotula papurifora	Dapar Birch	1	25 MS: E 10 10 7	G E	F G	G	25	10	Town	1.0	Remove	Multi ctom at 0.75 m	Encroachment into the root zone
A03		Acor pogundo	Manitaha Manla	1	MS: 19 27 20	r r			5.5	12	Driveto	1.0	Remove	Multi stem at base	Encroachment into the root zone
A64	AGEINIEG	Acer negunuo		1	1015: 16,27,29	F	6	6	0	15	Private	1.0	Remove Dreserve /Drupe /TD7	Crown to ano side, didn't tog, on property	
A85	PICEABI	Picea abies	Norway Spruce	1	29	G	F	G	4	14	Town	1.8	reduction	line/in front lawn	
A86	ULMUAME	Ulmus americana	American Elm	1	35	G	G	G	6	14	Town	2.4	Remove	Didn't tag, on property line/in front lawn	Encroachment into the root zone
A87	FRAX_SP	Fraxinus sp.	Ash sp.	1	MS: 17, 19	Р	Р	Р	3	14	Town	1.8	Remove	Didn't tag, on property line/in front lawn, almost dead	Encroachment into the root zone and poor health.
A88	ULMUAME	Ulmus americana	American Elm	1	18	G	G	G	3	14	Town	1.8	Remove	Didn't tag, on property line/in front lawn	Encroachment into the root zone
A89		Tilia americana	American Basswood	1	MS: 30,11,15,28	F	G	F	4	14	Town	3	Remove	Didn't tag, on property line/in front lawn, multi stem at base, missing a lot of top	Encroachment into the root zone
490	BETUPAP	Betula nanvrifera	Paner Birch	1	20	6	G	G	3	14	Town	2.4	Remove	Slight lean	Encroachment into the root zone
401		Diego glauga	White Service	1	20	6	6	<u> </u>	4	15	Town	1.0	Preserve/Prune/TPZ	Didn't tag, on property line (in front lown	
A91	PICEGLA	Picea giauca	white spruce	1	29	G	G	G	4	15	Town	1.8	reduction	Didn't tag, on property line/in front lawn	
A92	PICEGLA	Picea glauca	White Spruce	1	29	G	G	G	4	15	Town	1.8	Preserve/Prune/TPZ reduction	Didn't tag, on property line/in front lawn	
526	POPUTRE	Populus tremuloides	Trembling Aspen	1	21	G	G	G	4	15	Town	1.8	Remove	Slight lean	Encroachment into the root zone
493		Thuia occidentalis	Fastern White Cedar	1	38	F	F	F	3	11	Town	24	Remove	Lean, cavities in lower trunk, pruned so	Encroachment into the root zone
A33	THUJOCC		Lastern white Cedai	-	38	'	<u> </u>	'	5		100011	2.4	Kentove	canopy is to one side, some dieback	
A94	THUJOCC	Thuja occidentalis	Eastern White Cedar	1	MS: 15,25	F	G	G	3	12	Town	1.8	Preserve	Didn't tag, on property line/in front lawn, multi stem at base, dead Ash at base	
A95	FRAX_SP	Fraxinus sp.	Ash sp.	1	MS: 13,14	F	F	F	4	12	Town	1.8	Remove	Didn't tag, on property line/in front lawn, lean, multi stem at base, crown to one side	Encroachment into the root zone
A96	THUJOCC	Thuja occidentalis	Eastern White Cedar	1	MS: 10,8	F	G	G	3	11	Town	1.8	Preserve/Prune/TPZ reduction	Didn't tag, on property line/in front lawn, multi stem at 1.4 m	
TG7	THUJOCC	Thuja occidentalis	Eastern White Cedar	10	10 to 19	G to F	G	G	2	11	Town	1.8	Remove	Some multi stem	
A97	PICEGLA	Picea alauca	White Spruce	1	29	G	G	G	3	16	Private	1.8	Preserve/Prune	Didn't tag, on property line/in front lawn	
A98	ACERSAS	Acer saccharum	Sugar Maple	1	16	G	G	G	3	13	Town	1.8	Preserve/Prune/TPZ	Didn't tag, on property line/in front lawn	
A99	BETUPAP	Betula papyrifera	Paper Birch	1	MS: 15,16	F	G	G	4	13	Town	1.8	Preserve/Prune/TPZ	Didn't tag, on property line/in front lawn,	
A100		Tilia americana	American Basswood	1	MS: 10,11,12,14	F	G	F	3	11	Town	1.8	Remove	Didn't tag, on property line/in front lawn,	Encroachment into the root zone
A101		Thuja occidentalis	Eastern White Cedar	1	MS: 8,11,12,13	F	G	G	2	10	Town	1.8	Preserve/Prune/TPZ	Didn't tag, on property line/in front lawn,	
A102		Thuja occidentalis	Eastern White Cedar	1	MS: 38.40	F	G	G	4	15	Town	2.4	Remove	Didn't tag, on property line/in front lawn,	Encroachment into the root zone
	THUJOCC	,					_							multi stem at base	
A103	тнијосс	Thuja occidentalis	Eastern White Cedar	1	36	G	G	F to P	3	15	Town	2.4	Remove	canopy browning, doesn't look healthy	Encroachment into the root zone
A104	ACERSAS	Acer saccharum	Sugar Maple	1	MS: 12,5	F	G	G	3	10	Town	1.8	Preserve/Prune/TPZ reduction	Didn't tag, on property line/in front lawn, multi stem at base	
A105	ACERRUB	Acer rubrum	Red Maple	1	MS: 18,27	F	G	G	4.5	15	Private	1.8	Preserve/Prune	Didn't tag, on property line/in front lawn, multi stem at base	
A106	ABIEBAL	Abies balsamea	Balsam Fir	1	14	G	F	G	3	9	Private	1.8	Preserve	Didn't tag, on property line/in front lawn, topped under powerlines	
A107	BETUPAP	Betula papyrifera	Paper Birch	1	MS: 11,20	F	G	G	3	12	Private	1.8	Preserve	Didn't tag, on property line/in front lawn, multi stem at base	
A108	BETUPAP	Betula papyrifera	Paper Birch	1	MS: 4,5,7,10	F	G	G	2	11	Private	1.8	Retain	Didn't tag, on property line/in front lawn, multi stem at base	
			1												

							Арре	enaix	A: I ree	Preserva	ation I a	DIES			
Project:	25th Sideroad Innisfil			Field V	Vork Completed By:	Tiffany Wat	ers (ON-	2590A) a	and Avery Tyrel	I, and Carlene P	erkin				
Date of Field	d Work: October 18-2	20, 26, and 29, 2021		Weathe	er:	Approx 7C,	sun and	cloud							
Tree Condition	n Assessment Criteria:					Tree Condition	on:								
TI - Trunk Inte	grity: assessment of the t	runk for any defects or weaknesse	25.			Good (G): tre	e displays	less than	15% deficiency/	defect within the	given tree asses	sment criteria (	(TI,CS,CV)		
CS - Canopy St	tructure: assessment of so	caffold branches, unions and cano	ру			Fair (F): tree	displays 1	5-40% def	ficiency/defect w	ithin the given tre	e assessment cr	riteria (TI,CS,CV	0		
CV - Canopy v	igour: assessment of the l	health of the tree, based on the %	of deadwood, disease, pests & live	crown		Poor (P): tree	e displays (	greater th	an 40% deficient	cy/defect within th	he given tree ass	sessment criter	ia (TI,CS,CV)		
Colour Coding	Legend:			-											
	Trees to be Retained			Trees to	be Removed				Trees to be Pru	eduction ned					
Tree #	Code	Botanical Name	Common Name	Otv.	DBH (cm)	ТІ	CS	cv	Dripline	Height (m)	Tree	Tree	Recommendation	Comments - Health	Comments - Removal/Preservation
									Radius (m)		Location /	Protection			-
													Preserve/Prune/TPZ		
A109	PINUSTR	Pinus strobus	Eastern White Pine	1	42	G	G	G	7	17	Private	3	reduction	Didn't tag, on property line/in front lawn	
													Preserve/Prune/TPZ	Didn't tag, on property line/in front lawn.	
A110	MALU SP	Malus sp.	Apple sp.	1	MS: 10,16,17	F	G	G	5	10	Private	1.8	reduction	multi stem at 1 m	
A111	POPUTRE	Populus tremuloides	Trembling Aspen	1	15	G	G	G	4	13	Private	1.8	Remove	Didn't tag, on property line/in front lawn	Encroachment into the root zone
						_	_	-	-					Didn't tag, on property line/in front lawn,	
A112	BETUPAP	Betula papyrifera	Paper Birch	1	MS: 4,5,5,5,5,6	Р	P	P	3	8	Private	1.2	Preserve/Prune	sprouts at base, main stem dead	
													D (D (TD7		
A113		Betula papyrifera	Paper Birch	1	MS:	F	G	G	3	15	Private	1.8	Preserve/Prune/TPZ	Didn't tag, on property line/in front lawn,	
	BETUPAP				9,14,15,17,18,18								reduction	multi stem at base	
													D (D (TD7	Didn't tag, on property line/in front lawn,	
A114		Populus tremuloides	Trembling Aspen	1	37	G	F	F	4	15	Private	2.4	Preserve/Prune/TPZ	some dark branches, wood pecker holes,	
	POPUTRE												reduction	some dieback	
A115	ABIEBAL	Abies balsamea	Balsam Fir	1	15	G	G	G	3	15	Private	1.8	Preserve/Prune	Didn't tag, on property line/in front lawn	
		- ·			40		_		6	45			Preserve/Prune/TPZ	Didn't tag, on property line/in front lawn,	
A116	FRAX_SP	Fraxinus sp.	Asn sp.	1	42	P	P	P	6	15	Private	3	reduction	almost dead	
A117	PICEGLA	Picea glauca	White Spruce	1	40	G	G	G	6	18	Private	2.4	Preserve/Prune	Didn't tag, on property line/in front lawn	
4440		A	Gunna Manla	1	22	6		6	6	17	Detrota	2.4	Preserve/Prune/TPZ	Didale to a supervise line (in facet laws	
A118	ACERSAS	Acer saccharum	Sugar Maple	1	32	G	G	G	Ь	1/	Private	2.4	reduction	Didn't tag, on property line/in front lawn	
4110		Acor subsure	Ded Manla	1	MC. 1C 10 10 2F	-			-	17	Drivete	1.0	Droson in /Drupo	Didn't tag, on property line/in front lawn,	
AII9	ACERRUB	Acer Tubrum	Red Maple	1	1015: 10,18,18,25	- F	G	G	5	1/	Private	1.0	Preserve/Prune	multi stem at base	
A120	PINUSTR	Pinus strobus	Eastern White Pine	1	27	G	G	G	5	17	Private	1.8	Preserve/Prune	Didn't tag, on property line/in front lawn	
A121	PINUSTR	Pinus strobus	Eastern White Pine	1	33	G	G	G	5	17	Private	2.4	Preserve/Prune	Didn't tag, on property line/in front lawn	
A122		Pinus strobus	Factorn White Dine	1	30	- E	6	6	5	18	Town	24	Remove	Didn't tag, on property line/in front lawn,	Encroachment into the root zone
AIZZ	PINUSTR	Fillus scrobus	Lastern white File	1	39	F	0	9	5	10	TOWIT	2.4	Remove	multi stem at 2 m	Encloachment into the root zone
A123	PINUSTR	Pinus strobus	Eastern White Pine	1	38	G	G	G	5	18	Town	2.4	Remove	Didn't tag, on property line/in front lawn	Encroachment into the root zone
A124	PINUSTR	Pinus strobus	Eastern White Pine	1	43	G	G	G	5	18	Private	3	Remove	Didn't tag, on property line/in front lawn	Encroachment into the root zone
Δ125		Acer nlatanoides	Norway Manle	1	22	G	6	6	4	13	Private	1.8	Preserve/Prune/TPZ	Didn't tag, on property line/in front lawn,	
A125	ACERPLA	Acci placationacs	Norway Mapic	-		Ŭ	U U	U U	-	15	Thrute	1.0	reduction	some leaves with tar spots	
A126		Populus tremuloides	Trembling Aspen	1	18	G	F	F	25	14	Town	1.8	Remove	Didn't tag, on property line/in front lawn,	Encroachment into the root zone
A120	POPUTRE	r opulus tremuloides	Trembing Aspen	-	10	, in the second	· ·	<u> </u>	2.5	17	10001	1.0	Kentove	trunk wounds, dead branches	
TG8	ACERSAS	Acer saccharum	Sugar Maple	1	10	G	G	G	2.5	14	Town	1.8	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
TG8	THUJOCC	Thuja occidentalis	Eastern White Cedar	2	10	G	G	G	2	13	Town	1.8	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
														Fruiting bodies up trunk, dead branches,	
A127		Populus tremuloides	Trembling Aspen	1	38	F	F	F	5	17	Private	2.4	Preserve	dark coloured braches, top of leader broken	
	POPUTRE			<u> </u>										,	
A128	THUJOCC	Thuja occidentalis	Eastern White Cedar	1	12	G	G	G	2	10	Private	1.8	Preserve		
A129		Acer platanoides	Norway Maple	1	25	F	G	G	4	14	Private	1.8	Preserve/Prune/TPZ	Didn't tag, on property line/in front lawn, Y	
	ACERPLA						-						reduction	at 2.5 m	
A130	PINUSTR	Pinus strobus	Eastern White Pine	1	38	G to F	G	G	6	17	Private	2.4	Preserve/Prune	Slight curve in trunk	
A131		Betula papyrifera	Paper Birch	1	31	G	G	G	5	16	Private	2.4	Preserve/Prune	Didn't tag, on property line/in front lawn,	
	BETUPAP							<u> </u>						slight lean	
A132	DODUTOF	Populus tremuloides	Trembling Aspen	1	18	G	G	G	4	16	Private	1.8	Preserve/Prune	Didn't tag, on property line/in front lawn,	
	POPUTRE		<u> </u>											slight lean	
A133	DETUDAD	Betula papyrifera	Paper Birch	1	28	G	G	F	5	16	Town	1.8	Remove	Didn't tag, on property line/in front lawn,	
		Abias balana	Dalaan Sir	1	20				2	15	T-	1.0	Dav	some dieback	
A134	THULOCC	Ables balsamea	Baisam Fir	1	20	G	G	G	2	15	Town	1.8	Remove	Dian t tag, on property line/in front lawn	Encroachment into the root zone
A135		Abias balance	Balsom Fir	1	11	G	F	G	3	17	Drivete	1.8	Remove	ropped under powerline	Encroachment into the root zone
A130	ABIEBAL	Abies balsamoa	Balsam Fir	1	29	G	G	G	4	17	Private	1.8	Preserve /Prune		Encroachment into the root zone
A137	ABIEBAI	Abies balsamoa	Balsam Fir	1	11	G	G	G	2	13	Private	1.0	Preserve/Prune		Encroachment into the root zone
A130	ABIEBAI	Abies bulsarilea	Balsam Fir	1	MS: 12.5	G	6	G	2	13	Private	1.0	Preserve		Encroachment into the root zone
A135	ABIEBAI	Abies balsamen	Balsam Fir	1	11	G	6	6	2	13	Private	1.0	Preserve		Encroachment into the root zone
Δ140	ABIEBAI	Abies bulsarilea	Balsam Fir	1	10	G	6	G	2	13	Private	1.0	Preserve/Prupe		Encroachment into the root zone
A141	ABIEBAL	Ahies halsamen	Balsam Fir	1	10	6	6	6	2	13	Private	1.0	Preserve/Prune		Encroachment into the root zone
A142	PICEGLA	Picea alauca	White Spruce	1	18	6	G	G	5	15	Town	1.8	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
A144	тнијосс	Thuia occidentalis	Eastern White Cedar	1	12	G	G	G	3	11	Town	1.8	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
A145	PINUSTR	Pinus strobus	Eastern White Pine	1	36	G	G	G	6	16	Town	2.4	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
A146	BETUPAP	Betula papyrifera	Paper Birch	1	12	G	G	G	2	11	Town	1.8	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
A147	LARILAR	Larix laricina	American Larch	1	15	G	G	G	4	14	Town	1.8	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone

	Appendix A: Tree Preservation Tables														
Project:	25th Sideroad Innisfil			Field V	Vork Completed By:	Tiffany Wat	ers (ON-	2590A) a	nd Avery Tyrel	, and Carlene Pe	erkin				
Date of Field	Work: October 18-2	0, 26, and 29, 2021		Weathe	er:	Approx 7C, s	sun and	cloud							
Tree Condition	n Assessment Criteria:					Tree Conditio	<u>n:</u>								
TI - Trunk Inte	grity: assessment of the tr	unk for any defects or weaknesses				Good (G): tree	e displays	less than	15% deficiency/	defect within the g	given tree assess	sment criteria (	(TI,CS,CV)		
CS - Canopy St	ructure: assessment of sci	affold branches, unions and canop	у			Fair (F): tree o	lisplays 1	5-40% def	iciency/defect w	thin the given tre	e assessment cr	iteria (TI,CS,CV	)		
CV - Canopy v	igour: assessment of the h	ealth of the tree, based on the % of	of deadwood, disease, pests & live	crown		Poor (P): tree	displays a	greater th	an 40% deficienc	y/defect within th	e given tree ass	essment criteri	ia (TI,CS,CV)		
Colour Coding	Legend:			-											
	Trees to be Retained			I rees to	be Removed				Minimum TPZ r	eduction					
Tree #	Code	Botanical Name	Common Name	Otv.	DBH (cm)	TI	CS	CV	Drinline	Height (m)	Tree	Tree	Recommendation	Comments - Health	Comments - Removal/Preservation
				~·,·					Radius (m)		Location /	Protection			
													Preserve/Prune/TP7		
A148	PICEGI A	Picea glauca	White Spruce	1	15	G	G	G	4	12	Private	1.8	reduction	Some dieback on lower branches	
TG9	THUJOCC	Thuia occidentalis	Fastern White Cedar	6	10 to 11	G	F	F	15	8	Town	1.8	Retain	Dieback	
105		Thuju occiucituiis		-	10 10 11	0			1.5		10001	1.0	Preserve/Prune/TP7	Diebdek	
527	ACERNEG	Acer negundo	Manitoba Maple	1	MS: 37,17	F	G	G	5	13	Private	2.4	reduction	Multi stem at 1.4 m, leaning against fence	
				<u> </u>									Preserve/Prune/TP7		
528	ACERNEG	Acer negundo	Manitoba Maple	1	33	F	G	G	6	14	Private	2.4	reduction	Leaning	
				<u> </u>	MS:										
A149		Betula papyrifera	Paper Birch	1	12.12.11.7.9.16.1	F	F	F	4	14	Town	1.8	Preserve/Prune/TPZ	Multi stem at base, one dead stem, dieback	
	BETUPAP			-	3								reduction	······ , ····· , ····· , ····· , ····· , ····· , ····· , ····· , ····· , ····· , ····· , ····· , ····· , ····· , ····· , ····· , ····· , ····· , ··· , ·· , ·· , ·· , ··· , ·· , ·· , ·· , ·· , ··· , ··· , ··· , ··	
A150	LARILAR	Larix laricina	American Larch	1	17	G	F	G	3	13	Town	1.8	Remove	Appears to be topped	Encroachment into the root zone
A151	PICEGLA	Picea alauca	White Spruce	1	18	G	G	G	4	14	Private	1.8	Preserve/Prune		Encroachment into the root zone
71151		r leeu gluueu			10							1.0	incoch cynnanc	Sapsucker holes, dieback, appears to be	
529	PINUSYL	Pinus sylvestris	Scots Pine	1	20	F	F	F	4	9	Town	1.8	Remove	topped	Encroachment into the root zone
													Preserve/Prune/TP7		
530	PINUSYL	Pinus sylvestris	Scots Pine	1	19	F	G	F	4	11	Town	1.8	reduction	Sapsucker holes, dieback	
				<u> </u>									Preserve/Prune/TP7		
531	тнијосс	Thuja occidentalis	Eastern White Cedar	1	21	Р	F	F	2	8	Town	1.8	reduction	Bark stripped, one dead stem, multi at 2 m	
Δ152	QUERRUB	Quercus rubra	Northern Red Oak	1	42	G	G	G	6	17	Private	3	Preserve/Prune		
532	ACERPLA	Acer nlatanoides	Norway Maple	1	36	G	G	F	6	15	Town	2.4	Retain	Some dieback frost crack	
533	ACERPLA	Acer platanoides	Norway Maple	1	46	G	G	G	8	14	Town	3	Retain		
A153	Pinustr	Pinus strohus	Fastern White Pine	1	55	G	G	G	6	25	Town	3.6	Retain		
A154	PICEGLA	Picea alauca	White Spruce	1	10	G	G	G	2	8	Town	1.8	Retain		
A155	PICEGLA	Picea alauca	White Spruce	1	10	G	G	G	2	8	Town	1.8	Retain		
A156	FRAX SP	Fraxinus sn	Ash sn	1	20	F	F	F	6	10	Town	1.8	Remove	Main stem cut at base	Encroachment into the root zone
A157	PICEGLA	Picea alauca	White Spruce	1	15	G	G	G	4	20	Town	1.8	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
A158	THUJOCC	Thuia occidentalis	Eastern White Cedar	1	20	F	G	G	3	9	Town	1.8	Remove	Peeling bark	Encroachment into the root zone
A159	FRAX SP	Fraxinus sp.	Ash sp.	1	40	P	G	G	5	20	Town	2.4	Remove	EAB evidence. dead?	Encroachment into the root zone
A160	THUJOCC	Thuia occidentalis	Eastern White Cedar	1	33	G	G	G	5	15	Town	2.4	Remove	Codominant stems, woodpecker holes	Encroachment into the root zone
A161	THUJOCC	Thuia occidentalis	Eastern White Cedar	1	20	G	G	G	4	9	Town	1.8	Remove	Woodpecker holes	Encroachment into the root zone
A162	FRAX SP	Fraxinus sp.	Ash sp.	1	10	G	G	G	2	12	Town	1.8	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
A163	Fagugra	Fagus grandifolia	American Beech	1	15	G	G	G	4	20	Town	1.8	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
A164	THUJOCC	Thuja occidentalis	Eastern White Cedar	1	15	G	G	G	4	9	Town	1.8	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
A165	FRAX_SP	Fraxinus sp.	Ash sp.	1	12	G	G	G	3	12	Town	1.8	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
A166	PINUSTR	Pinus strobus	Eastern White Pine	1	90	G	G	G	8	30	Town	5.4	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
A167	FRAX_SP	Fraxinus sp.	Ash sp.	1	12	G	G	G	3	9	Town	1.8	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
A168	THUJOCC	Thuja occidentalis	Eastern White Cedar	1	15	F	G	G	3	8	Town	1.8	Remove	Heavy lean	Encroachment into the root zone
A169	FRAX_SP	Fraxinus sp.	Ash sp.	1	12	G	G	G	3	9	Town	1.8	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
A170	FRAX_SP	Fraxinus sp.	Ash sp.	1	10	G	G	G	3	9	Town	1.8	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
A171	FRAX_SP	Fraxinus sp.	Ash sp.	1	30	G	G	G	4	20	Town	2.4	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
A172	FRAX_SP	Fraxinus sp.	Ash sp.	1	20	G	G	G	4	13	Town	1.8	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
A173	THUJOCC	Thuja occidentalis	Eastern White Cedar	1	25	G	G	G	3	15	Town	1.8	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
A174	THUJOCC	Thuja occidentalis	Eastern White Cedar	1	30	G	G	G	3	15	Town	2.4	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
A175	FRAX_SP	Fraxinus sp.	Ash sp.	1	10	G	G	G	3	10	Town	1.8	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
A176	THUJOCC	Thuja occidentalis	Eastern White Cedar	1	11	G	G	G	3	10	Town	1.8	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
A177	PINUSTR	Pinus strobus	Eastern White Pine	1	20	G	G	G	4	20	Town	1.8	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
A178	BETUPAP	Betula papyrifera	Paper Birch	1	50	G	G	G	8	25	Town	3	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
A179	THUJOCC	Thuja occidentalis	Eastern White Cedar	1	18,20	G	G	G	4	15	Town	1.8	Remove	Mechanical damage at base	Encroachment into the root zone
A180	FRAX_SP	Fraxinus sp.	Ash sp.	1	10	G	G	G	3	10	Town	1.8	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
A181	FRAX_SP	Fraxinus sp.	Ash sp.	1	20	G	G	G	4	12	Town	1.8	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
A182	FRAX_SP	Fraxinus sp.	Ash sp.	1	23	G	G	G	4	12	Town	1.8	Remove	Behind property fence	Encroachment into the root zone
TG10	PINUSYL	Pinus sylvestris	Scots Pine	7	40 to 60	G	G	G	6	30	Town	3.6	Remove	Behind property fence	Encroachment into the root zone
TG10	FRAX_SP	Fraxinus sp.	Ash sp.	5	10	G	G	G	3	10	Town	1.8	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
TG10	FRAX_SP	Fraxinus sp.	Ash sp.	2	20 to 29	G	G	G	7	15	Town	1.8	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
TG10	Acerpla	Acer platanoides	Norway Maple	1	10	G	G	G	3	8	Town	1.8	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
TG10	THUJOCC	Thuja occidentalis	Eastern White Cedar	1	10	G	G	G	2	8	Town	1.8	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
TG10	Tiliame	Tilia americana	American Basswood	1	18	G	G	G	4	10	Town	1.8	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
TG10	PINUSYL	Pinus sylvestris	Scots Pine	1	12	G	G	G	2	8	Town	1.8	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
A183	Pinustr	Pinus strobus	Eastern White Pine	1	70	G	G	G	8	30	Town	4.2	Remove	Behind property fence	Encroachment into the root zone
A184	THUJOCC	Thuja occidentalis	Eastern White Cedar	1	25	G	G	G	3	10	Town	1.8	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone

							Appe	endix	A: Tree	Preserva	ation Tal	bles			
Project:	25th Sideroad Innisfil			Field V	Vork Completed By:	Tiffany Wa	ters (ON-	2590A) a	nd Avery Tyrel	, and Carlene P	Perkin				
Date of Fiel	d Work: October 18-2	20, 26, and 29, 2021		Weath	er:	Approx 7C,	sun and	cloud							
Tree Conditio	on Assessment Criteria:					Tree Conditi	on:								
TI - Trunk Inte	egrity: assessment of the t	runk for any defects or weaknesse	s.			Good (G): tre	ee displays	less than	15% deficiency/	defect within the	given tree asses	sment criteria	(TI,CS,CV)		
CS - Canopy S	Structure: assessment of so	caffold branches, unions and canop	у			Fair (F): tree	displays 1	5-40% def	iciency/defect w	ithin the given tre	ee assessment cr	riteria (TI,CS,C\	V)		
CV - Canopy v	vigour: assessment of the	health of the tree, based on the %	of deadwood, disease, pests & live	crown		Poor (P): tre	e displays	greater th	an 40% deficienc	y/defect within t	he given tree ass	sessment crite	ria (TI,CS,CV)		
Colour Codin	g Legend:														
	Trees to be Retained			Trees to	be Removed				Minimum TPZ r	eduction					
Tree #	Code	Botanical Name	Common Name	Otv.	DBH (cm)	ТІ	CS	CV	Dripline	Height (m)	Tree	Tree	Recommendation	Comments - Health	Comments - Removal/Preservation
				~·,·					Radius (m)		Location /	Protection			,
A185	THUJOCC	Thuia occidentalis	Fastern White Cedar	1	25	G	G	6	3	10	Town	1.8	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
A186	THUJOCC	Thuia occidentalis	Eastern White Cedar	1	20	G	G	G	3	10	Town	1.8	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
A187	THUJOCC	Thuia occidentalis	Eastern White Cedar	1	13	G	G	G	3	8	Town	1.8	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
A188	PINUSYL	Pinus sylvestris	Scots Pine	1	65	F	G	G	6	30	Town	4.2	Remove	Codominant stems	Encroachment into the root zone
A189	PINUSYL	Pinus sylvestris	Scots Pine	1	65	G	G	G	6	30	Town	4.2	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
A190	PINUSYL	Pinus sylvestris	Scots Pine	1	25	G	G	G	4	20	Town	1.8	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
A191	Acerrub	Acer rubrum	Red Maple	1	MS: 10 to 20	G	G	G	6	18	Town	1.8	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
A192	PINUSYL	Pinus sylvestris	Scots Pine	1	28	G	G	Р	5	10	Town	1.8	Remove	Dead needles	Encroachment into the root zone and poor health.
A193	PINUSYL	Pinus sylvestris	Scots Pine	1	40	G	G	Р	5	15	Town	2.4	Remove	Dead needles	Encroachment into the root zone and poor health.
A194	PINUSYL	Pinus sylvestris	Scots Pine	1	40	G	G	G	5	16	Town	2.4	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
A195	THUJOCC	Thuja occidentalis	Eastern White Cedar	1	20	G	G	G	3	8	Town	1.8	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
A196	THUJOCC	Thuja occidentalis	Eastern White Cedar	1	16	G	G	G	2	7	Town	1.8	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
A197	PINUSYL	Pinus sylvestris	Scots Pine	1	20	G	G	G	5	25	Town	1.8	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
A198	PINUSYL	Pinus sylvestris	Scots Pine	1	35	G	G	G	5	25	Town	2.4	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
A199	THUJOCC	Thuja occidentalis	Eastern White Cedar	1	30	G	G	G	4	8	Town	2.4	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
A200	Acerpla	Acer platanoides	Norway Maple	1	5 stems 10 to 12	G	G	G	5	8	Town	1.8	Preserve/Prune		
A201	Acerpla	Acer platanoides	Norway Maple	1	5 stems 10 to 12	G	G	G	5	8	Town	1.8	Preserve/Prune		
A202	PINUSYL	Pinus sylvestris	Scots Pine	1	46	G	G	G	8	20	Town	3	Remove		
A203	THUJOCC	Thuja occidentalis	Eastern White Cedar	1	20	G	G	G	3	10	Town	1.8	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
A204	THUJOCC	Thuja occidentalis	Eastern White Cedar	1	18	G	G	G	2	8	Town	1.8	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
A205	PINUSYL	Pinus sylvestris	Scots Pine	1	25	G	G	G	6	25	Town	1.8	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
A206	MALU_SP	Malus sp.	Apple sp.	1	12,8	F	G	G	3	8	Town	1.8	Remove	Lean	Encroachment into the root zone
A207	MALU_SP	Malus sp.	Apple sp.	1	15	G	G	G	5	10	Town	1.8	Preserve		
A208	PINUSYL	Pinus sylvestris	Scots Pine	1	25	G	G	G	4	20	Town	1.8	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
A209	PINUSYL	Pinus sylvestris	Scots Pine	1	50	G	G	G	6	25	Town	3	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
A210	Poputre	Populus tremuloides	Trembling Aspen	1	25	G	G	G	4	20	Town	1.8	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
A211	PINUSYL	Pinus sylvestris	Scots Pine	1	47	G	G	G	5	20	Private	3	reduction		
A212	PINUSYL	Pinus sylvestris	Scots Pine	1	18	G	G	G	4	15	Private	1.8	reduction		
A213	THUJOCC	Thuja occidentalis	Eastern White Cedar	1	20	G	G	G	3	8	Private	1.8	Remove		
A214		Syringa reticulata	Ivory-silk Lilac	1	20,18,8	G	G	G	4	8	Private	1.8	Remove		
201	Acerrub	Acer rubrum	Red Maple	1	32,21,14,10	F	F	G	5	18	Town	2.4	Remove	Some wounds on trunk, pruned for hydro	Encroachment into the root zone
202	тнијосс	Thuja occidentalis	Eastern White Cedar	1	25,16,14,10	F	G	G	3	8	Town	1.8	Preserve/Prune/TPZ reduction	Some wounds on trunk	
A215	THUJOCC	Thuja occidentalis	Eastern White Cedar	1	20,16	G	G	G	3	8	Private	1.8	Preserve	Codominant stems	
A216	THUJOCC	Thuja occidentalis	Eastern White Cedar	1	25	G	G	G	3	8	Private	1.8	Preserve/Prune		
A217	THUJOCC	Thuja occidentalis	Emerald cedar	1	10,10,10,8,4	G	G	G	2	6	Private	1.8	Preserve/Prune/TPZ reduction		
A218	Poputre	Populus tremuloides	Trembling Aspen	1	33	G	G	G	4	25	Private	2.4	Preserve/Prune		
203		Thuja occidentalis 'Smaragd'	Emerald Green Cedar	1	10,6,6,6,6	G	G	G	0.5	6	Town	1.8	Preserve/TPZ reduction		
204		Thuja occidentalis 'Smaragd'	Emerald cedar	1	10,8,4	G	G	G	0.5	6	Town	1.8	Preserve/TPZ reduction		
205	PINUSYL	Pinus sylvestris	Scots Pine	1	38	G	G	G	4	18	Town	2.4	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
206	PINUSYL	Pinus sylvestris	Scots Pine	1	42	G	G	G	5	18	Town	3	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
207	THUJOCC	Thuja occidentalis	Eastern White Cedar	1	20	G	G	G	2	8	Town	1.8	Preserve		
TG11	THUJOCC	Thuja occidentalis	Eastern White Cedar	45	<10	G	G	G	1	5	Town	1.2	Remove	Hedge	Encroachment into the root zone
TG12	PINUSYL	Pinus sylvestris	Scots Pine	6	35 to 40	G	G	G	6	30	Town	2.4	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
A219	PINUSYL	Pinus sylvestris	Scots Pine	1	24	G	G	G	4	12	Private	1.8	Preserve/Prune/TPZ reduction		
A220	тнијосс	Thuja occidentalis	Eastern White Cedar	1	24	F	G	G	3	10	Private	1.8	Preserve/Prune/TPZ reduction	Mechanical damage at base	
A221	тнијосс	Thuja occidentalis	Eastern White Cedar	1	22	G	G	G	3	10	Private	1.8	Preserve/Prune/TPZ reduction		
A222	Picegla	Picea glauca	White Spruce	1	45	F	F	Р	5	30	Private	3	Remove	Mechanical damage at base, codominant stems, exposed roots	Encroachment into the root zone

							Appe	ndix	A: Tree	Preserv	ation Tal	bles			
Project:	25th Sideroad Innisfil			Field V	Vork Completed By:	Tiffany Wat	ers (ON-	2590A) a	nd Avery Tyrell	, and Carlene F	Perkin				
Date of Fiel	d Work: October 18-2	0, 26, and 29, 2021		Weathe	er:	Approx 7C,	sun and	cloud							
Tree Conditio	n Assessment Criteria:					Tree Condition	on:								
TI - Trunk Inte	egrity: assessment of the t	runk for any defects or weaknesse	S.			Good (G): tre	e displays	less than	15% deficiency/o	defect within the	given tree asses	sment criteria	(TI,CS,CV)		
CS - Canopy S	tructure: assessment of so	affold branches, unions and canop	y .			Fair (F): tree	displays 1	5-40% defi	ciency/defect wi	ithin the given tr	ee assessment cr	iteria (TI,CS,CV	/)		
CV - Canopy v	rigour: assessment of the l	nealth of the tree, based on the % (	of deadwood, disease, pests & live	crown		Poor (P): tree	e displays (	greater that	an 40% deficienc	y/defect within t	the given tree ass	essment criter	ria (TI,CS,CV)		
Colour Coding	<u>z Legend:</u>			Teens to	he Demound				Minimum TD7 -				1		
	Trees to be Retained			Trees to	be kemoved				Trees to be Pru	eduction ned					
Tree #	Code	Botanical Name	Common Name	Qty.	DBH (cm)	TI	CS	cv	Dripline	Height (m)	Tree	Tree	Recommendation	Comments - Health	Comments - Removal/Preservation
									Radius (m)		Location /	Protection			
A223		Syringa reticulata	Ivory-silk Lilac	1	MS: 12,11,8,8	Р	Р	Р	4	8	Town	1.8	Remove	Dead stems, suckers	Encroachment into the root zone and poor health.
TG13	THUJOCC	Thuja occidentalis	Eastern White Cedar	20	10 to 20	G	G	G	3	10	Private	1.8	Retain		
TG13	Picegla	Picea glauca	White Spruce	2	20	G	G	G	3	15	Private	1.8	Retain		
TG13	PINUSYL	Pinus sylvestris	Scots Pine	1	20	G	G	G	3	20	Private	1.8	Retain		
TG14	THUJOCC	Thuja occidentalis	Eastern White Cedar	8	10 to 15	G	G	G	2	8	Private	1.8	Retain		
A224	Acerpla	Acer platanoides	Norway Maple	1	28	G	G	G	5	10	Town	1.8	Remove	Some dieback	Encroachment into the root zone
A225	Acerpla	Acer platanoides	Norway Maple	1	28	G	G	G	5	10	Town	1.8	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
A226	Acerpla	Acer platanoides	Norway Maple	1	30	G	G	G	5	10	Private	2.4	reduction	Frost crack	
A227	pinusyl	Pinus sylvestris	Scots Pine	1	30	G	G	G	6	30	Town	2.4	Retain		
A228	PINUSYL	Pinus sylvestris	Scots Pine	1	25	G	G	G	5	30	Town	1.8	Retain		
A229	FRAX_SP	Fraxinus sp.	Ash sp.	1	10	G	G	G	2	8	Town	1.8	Retain		
A230	THUJOCC	Thuja occidentalis	Eastern White Cedar	1	30	G	G	G	4	10	Town	2.4	Retain		
A231	pinusyl	Pinus sylvestris	Scots Pine	1	30	G	G	G	3	20	Town	2.4	Retain		
A232		Populus tremuloides	Trembling Aspen	1	20	G	G	G	3	10	Town	1.8	Retain		
A233	Tiliamo	Frunus sp.	Cherry sp.		10	G	G	G	2	8	Town	1.8	Retain		
A254	Tillattie	Tilla americana	American Basswood	1	18	6	6	6	4	10	Town	1.6	Retain		
A235	TULLOCC	Thuja occidentalis	Eastern White Cedar	1	MS: 20,20,20,18,10,8	G	G	G	4	10	Town	1.8	Preserve/Prune		
4220	THUJUCC	A	Manuau Manula		20	C C	6	6	-	10	Taura	24	Demons		Farman alternation to the sector of a
A230	Tiliamo	Acer platanolaes	Norway Maple	1	30	G	G	G	5	10	Drivete	2.4	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
A257		Thia americana	American Basswood	1	15	6	6	6	4	10	Private	1.0	Preserve/Prupe/TP7		
A238	Acerpla	Acer platanoides	Norway Maple	1	30	G	G	G	5	10	Private	2.4	reduction		
A239	MALU_SP	Malus sp.	Apple sp.	1	20	G	G	G	5	8	Private	1.8	Retain		
A240	pinusyl	Pinus sylvestris	Scots Pine	1	35	G	G	G	6	30	Private	2.4	Preserve/Prune		
A241	Picegla	Picea glauca	White Spruce	1	15	G	G	G	3	10	Private	1.8	Preserve/Prune/TPZ reduction		
A242	picegla	Picea glauca	White Spruce	1	30	G	G	G	5	25	Private	2.4	Preserve/Prune/TPZ reduction		
A243	THUJOCC	Thuja occidentalis	Eastern White Cedar	1	20	G	G	G	3	10	Town	1.8	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
A244	pinustr	Pinus strobus	Eastern White Pine	1	40	G	G	G	6	35	Town	2.4	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
TG15	pinustr	Pinus strobus	Eastern White Pine	3	25 to 30	G	G	G	5	25	Town	2.4	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
TG15	Tiliame	Tilia americana	American Basswood	2	20 to 30	G	G	G	6	20	Town	2.4	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
TG16	pinustr	Pinus strobus	Eastern White Pine	1	25	G	G	G	4	20	Town	1.8	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
TG16	pinusyl	Pinus sylvestris	Scots Pine	2	25	G	G	G	4	20	Town	1.8	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
TG16	thujocc	Thuja occidentalis	Eastern White Cedar	1	10	G	G	G	3	8	Town	1.8	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
TG16	Deputro	Tilia americana	American Basswood	2	10 to 30	G	G	G	5	15	Town	2.4	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
1010	Tiliame	Tilia americana	American Bacowood	1	10 10	G	G	G	5	10	Town	1.8	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
A245	Tiliame	Tilia americana	American Basswood	1	MS: 20.15	G	G	G	6	20	Town	2.4	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
A240	Tiliame	Tilia americana	American Basswood	1	MS: 45 20	G	G	G	7	20	Town	3	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
A248	Acerpla	Acer platanoides	Norway Maple	1	MS: 18.18	G	G	G	4	10	Town	1.8	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
A249	Tiliame	Tilia americana	American Basswood	1	MS: 40,30,30,20	G	G	G	8	25	Town	2.4	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
A250	Tiliame	Tilia americana	American Basswood	1	MS: 40.28	G	G	G	6	20	Town	2.4	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
A251	PINUSYL	Pinus sylvestris	Scots Pine	1	25	G	G	G	6	20	Town	1.8	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
A252	PINUSYL	Pinus sylvestris	Scots Pine	1	40	G	G	G	6	30	Town	2.4	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
A253	pinusyl	Pinus sylvestris	Scots Pine	1	25	G	G	G	6	20	Town	1.8	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
A254	pinustr	Pinus strobus	Eastern White Pine	1	55	G	G	G	8	30	Private	3.6	Preserve/Prune		
A255	picegla	Picea glauca	White Spruce	1	20	G	G	G	5	8	Private	1.8	Preserve		
208	Acerpla	Acer platanoides	Norway Maple	1	27	G	G	G	5	10	Town	1.8	Retain		
A256	PRUN_SP	Prunus sp.	Cherry sp.	1	20	G	G	G	4	8	Private	1.8	Preserve/Prune		
A257	BETUPAP	Betula papyrifera	Paper Birch	1	MS: 12,14	F	G	G	5	13	Private	1.8	Preserve/Prune/TPZ reduction	Multi stem at base	
A258	THUJOCC	Thuja occidentalis	Eastern White Cedar	1	17	G	G	G	3	9	Private	1.8	Preserve/Prune		
					MS:								Preserve /Prupe /TP7		
A259	ACERSAC	Acer saccharinum	Silver Maple	1	12,12,15,15,8,10, 14	F	G	G	6	16	Private	1.8	reduction	Multi stem at base	

							Арре	ndix	A: I ree	Preserva	ation la	bles			
Project:	25th Sideroad Innisfil			Field W	/ork Completed By:	Tiffany Wat	ers (ON-	2590A) a	nd Avery Tyrell	l, and Carlene P	erkin				
Date of Fie	Id Work: October 18-2	0, 26, and 29, 2021		Weathe	r:	Approx 7C,	sun and	cloud							
Tree Conditi	on Assessment Criteria:					Tree Conditio	on: 	less these	150/ defining and						
CS Capony	egnity: assessment of the t	affold branches, unions and caper	S.			GOOD (G): tre	e uispiays	1855 trian	15% deficiency/d	ithin the given tre	given tree asses	ritoria (TLCS CV	(11,C3,CV)		
CV - Canopy	vigour: assessment of the h	health of the tree, based on the %	of deadwood, disease, pests & live	crown		Poor (P): tree	displays 1	reater th	an 40% deficienc	v/defect within th	e given tree as	sessment criter	ia (TI.CS.CV)		
Colour Codir	ig Legend:														
	Trees to be Retained			Trees to	be Removed				Minimum TPZ r	eduction					
-	Trees to be Preserved	1					-		Trees to be Prur	ned		-			
Tree #	Code	Botanical Name	Common Name	Qty.	DBH (cm)	ті	CS	cv	Dripline Radius (m)	Height (m)	Tree Location /	Tree Protection	Recommendation	Comments - Health	Comments - Removal/Preservation
A260	ACERSAC	Acer saccharinum	Silver Maple	1	38	G	G	G	6	16	Private	2.4	Preserve/Prune/TPZ reduction		
A261	PICEPUN	Picea pungens	Blue Spruce	1	17	G	G	G	1.5	9	Private	1.8	Retain		
A262	PICEPUN	Picea pungens	Blue Spruce	1	10	G	G	G	1	8	Town	1.8	Retain		
534	ACERNEG	Acer negundo	Manitoba Maple	1	MS: 17,7,19	F	F	F	5	12	Town	1.8	Retain	Multi stem at base, lacking canopy	
A263	PRUN_SP	Prunus sp.	Cherry sp.	1	MS: 12,10,10,6	F	G	G	3	11	Private	1.8	Retain	Multi stem at 0.5 m	
A264	ACERPLA	Acer platanoides	Norway Maple	1	15	G	G	G	4	10	Private	1.8	Preserve/Prune/TPZ reduction	Tar spots on some leaves	
A265	BETUPAP	Betula papyrifera	Paper Birch	1	16	G	G	G	3	10	Private	1.8	Preserve/Prune/TPZ reduction	Slight lean	
A266		Juglans nigra	Black Walnut	1	30	F	F to P	F	6	11	Private	2.4	Preserve/Prune/TPZ reduction	Trunk wounds, branch wound, approx 50% dead broken branches, leaves browning at	
A267	PRUN SP	Prunus sn	Cherry sp	1	17	G	G	G	5	8	Town	1.8	Preserve/Prune		
			5	-	_/	5						1.0	Preserve/Prune/TP7		
A268	PINUNIG	Pinus nigra	Austrian Pine	1	37	G	G	G	5	15	Private	2.4	reduction		
A269	PINUNIG	Pinus nigra	Austrian Pine	1	34	G	G	G	5	15	Private	2.4	reduction		
A270	PINUNIG	Pinus nigra	Austrian Pine	1	31	G	G	G	5	15	Private	2.4	reduction		
A271	PINUNIG	Pinus nigra	Austrian Pine	1	24	G	G	G	5	14	Private	1.8	Preserve/Prune/TPZ reduction		
A272	BETUPAP	Betula papyrifera	Paper Birch	1	MS: 16,16	F	G	G	4	12	Town	1.8	Preserve/Prune	Multi stem at base	
A273	ROBIPSE	Robinia pseudoacacia	Black Locust	1	MS: 48,48	F	F	G	4.5	18	Private	3	Preserve/Prune/TPZ reduction	Multi stem at base, some dead and broken branches	
A274	THUJOCC	Thuja occidentalis	Eastern White Cedar	1	12	G	G	G	1	6	Private	1.8	Preserve/TPZ reduction		
A275		Morus alba 'Pendula'	Weeping Mulberry	1	14	F	F	F	3	5	Town	1.8	Preserve	Some dieback, trunk and branch connection wounds, canopy mostly to one side	
TG17	PINUSYL	Pinus sylvestris	Scots Pine	1	50 to 59	G	G	G	Up to 6	Up to 20	Town	3.6	Retain	Sapsucker holes	
TG17	POPU_SP	Populus sp.	Poplar sp.	1	10 to 20	F	G	G		Up to 8	Town	1.8	Retain	Significant lean	
TG17	POPU_SP	Populus sp.	Poplar sp.	1	20 to 29	F	G	G		Up to 12	Town	1.8	Retain	Significant lean	
TG17	PINUSYL	Pinus sylvestris	Scots Pine	1	30 to 40	G	G	G	Up to 5	Up to 16	Town	2.4	Retain	-	
535	PYRU SP	Pyrus sp.	Pear sp.	1	12	G	G	G	2	7	Town	1.8	Retain		
536	ACERPLA	Acer platanoides	Norway Maple	1	17	G	F	F	3	9	Private	1.8	Remove	Dead broken branches, 50% dieback	Encroachment into the root zone
537	ACERPI A	Acer platanoides	Norway Maple	1	19	F	Р	Р	3	9	Private	1.8	Remove	Dead broken branches, significant dieback,	Encroachment into the root zone and poor health.
538	MALLI SP	Malus sp.	Apple sp.	1	21	G	G	G	4	7	Private	1.8	Preserve/Prune/TPZ	Sprouts at base	
539	MALU_SP	Malus sp.	Apple sp.	1	MS: 15,15,9,9,7,10,10	F	F	F	4	9	Private	1.8	Preserve/Prune/TPZ reduction	Multi stem at base, dead branches, branch rubbing, dieback, sooty appearance of some stems	
A276	PINUSYL	Pinus sylvestris	Scots Pine	1	48	G	G	G	6	16	Town	3	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
A277	PINUSYL	Pinus sylvestris	Scots Pine	1	38	G	G	G	6	16	Town	2.4	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
A278	PINUSYL	Pinus sylvestris	Scots Pine	1	38	G	G	G	5	16	Town	2.4	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
TG18	POPUTRE	Populus tremuloides	Trembling Aspen	4	10 to 20	G	G	G	4	14	Town	1.8	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
A279	POPUTRE	Populus tremuloides	Trembling Aspen	1	49	G	F	G	6	16	Town	3	Remove	Dead broken branches	Encroachment into the root zone
A280	POPUTRE	Populus tremuloides	Trembling Aspen	1	25	G	G	G	6	14	Town	1.8	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
TG19	POPUTRE	Populus tremuloides	Trembling Aspen	1	10 to 20	G	6	G	3	14	Town	1.0	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
TG19	ACERSAS	Acer saccharum	Sugar Manle	1	10 to 20	G	G	G	5	14	Town	1.0	Remove		Encroschment into the root zone
TG10			American Larch	1	30 to 40	G	6	6	6	16	Town	2.0	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
TG19			Northorn Rod Oak	2	10 to 20	G	G	G	6	10	Town	1.4	Remove		Encroschment into the root zone
1019	DINUSY	Dinus subjectric	Scots Dino	2	101020	G	- G	r G	0	10	Drivete	1.0	Remove	Noodlos orango, doad branchas	Encroschment into the root zone
A281	FINUSTL	Finds Sylvestris	SCOLS PILLE	1	43	6	F	F	0	10	Private	3	Preserve/Prune/TP7	ivecues orange, dead branches	
A282	PINUSYL	Pinus sylvestris	Scots Pine	1	49	G	G	G	6	16	Town	3	reduction		

							Appe	naix	A: I ree	Preserva	ition la	DIES			
Project:	25th Sideroad Innisfil			Field W	Vork Completed By:	Tiffany Wat	ers (ON-	2590A) a	ind Avery Tyrell	, and Carlene Pe	erkin				
Date of Field	d Work: October 18-2	20, 26, and 29, 2021		Weathe	er:	Approx 7C,	sun and	cloud							
Tree Conditio	n Assessment Criteria:					Tree Conditio	<u>n:</u>								
II - Irunk Inte	egrity: assessment of the t	runk for any defects or weaknesse	-			G000 (G): tre	e displays	less than	15% deficiency/c	lefect within the g	given tree asses	sment criteria (	(11,CS,CV)		
CV Canopy S	incure: assessment of the	andlu branches, unions and carlop	jy of doodwood, discoord, posts & live	crown		Pair (F): tree t	displays 1:	s-40% del	an 40% deficience	unin the given tre	e assessment cr	iteria (11,CS,CV	) in /TLCS ()/)		
Colour Coding	Legend.	realth of the tree, based on the 760	of deadwood, disease, pests & live	crown		rooi (r). tiee	uispiays į	greater th	an 40% dencienc	y/defect within th	le given tiee ass	essment criter	ia (11,03,00)		
Colour courry	Trees to be Retained			Trees to	be Removed				Minimum TP7 re	duction					
	Trees to be Preserved								Trees to be Prur	ned					
Tree #	Code	Botanical Name	Common Name	Qty.	DBH (cm)	ті	CS	cv	Dripline Radius (m)	Height (m)	Tree Location /	Tree Protection	Recommendation	Comments - Health	Comments - Removal/Preservation
A283	PINUSYL	Pinus sylvestris	Scots Pine	1	49	G	G	G	6	16	Town	3	Preserve/Prune/TPZ reduction		
A284	PINUSYL	Pinus sylvestris	Scots Pine	1	47	G	G	G	6	16	Town	3	Preserve/Prune/TPZ reduction		
A285	PINUSYL	Pinus sylvestris	Scots Pine	1	52	G	G	G	6	16	Private	3.6	Preserve/Prune/TPZ reduction		
A286	PINUSYL	Pinus sylvestris	Scots Pine	1	52	G	G	G	6	16	Private	3.6	Preserve/Prune/TPZ reduction		
A287	PINUSYL	Pinus sylvestris	Scots Pine	1	52	G	G	F	6	16	Private	3.6	Preserve/Prune/TPZ reduction	Needles orange	
A288	PINUSYL	Pinus sylvestris	Scots Pine	1	52	G	G	G	6	16	Private	3.6	Preserve/Prune/TPZ reduction		
A289	PICEGLA	Picea glauca	White Spruce	1	23	G	G	G	4	14	Town	1.8	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
A290	PICEGLA	Picea glauca	White Spruce	1	18	G	G	G	4	14	Town	1.8	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
A291	PICEGLA	Picea alauca	White Spruce	1	16	G	G	G	4	14	Town	1.8	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
A292	PICEGLA	Picea alauca	White Spruce	1	35	G	G	G	4	16	Town	2.4	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
A293	THUJOCC	Thuia occidentalis	Eastern White Cedar	1	38	G	G	G	5	14	Private	2.4	Preserve/Prune		
A294	тнилосс	Thuia occidentalis	Eastern White Cedar	1	38	G	G	G	5	14	Town	2.4	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
A295	PINUSTR	Pinus strobus	Eastern White Pine	1	60	G	F	G	7	22	Town	3.6	Remove	Dead broken branches	Encroachment into the root zone
A296	PINUSTR	Pinus strobus	Eastern White Pine	1	67	G	G	G	7	22	Town	4.2	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
A297	PINUSTR	Pinus strobus	Eastern White Pine	1	59	G	G	G	7	22	Town	3.6	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
A298	PINUSTR	Pinus strobus	Eastern White Pine	1	67	G	G	G	7	22	Town	4.2	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
A200		Pinus strobus	Eastern White Pine	1	59	6	G	6	7	21	Town	3.6	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
A300	PINI ISTR	Pinus strobus	Eastern White Pine	1	50	6	6	6	7	21	Town	3.6	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
TG20		Acor platapoidos	Norway Maple	0	10 to 20	6	G	G	,	14	Town	1.0	Bomovo		Encroachment into the root zone
TG20	FRAX SP	Fravinus sn	Ach cn	1	10 to 20	0	D	D	4	14	Town	1.0	Remove	Multistem most of canony dead	Encroachment into the root zone and noor health
A301	PINUSYI	Pinus sylvestris	Scots Pine	1	62	G	G	G	6	20	Private	4.2	Remove	Water stellt, most of canopy dead	Encroachment into the root zone
A302	PINUSYL	Pinus sylvestris	Scots Pine	1	53	G	G	G	6	20	Private	3.6	Preserve/Prune/TPZ		
A303	PINUSYL	Pinus sylvestris	Scots Pine	1	55	G	G	G	6	20	Private	3.6	Preserve/Prune/TPZ reduction		
A304	PINUSYL	Pinus sylvestris	Scots Pine	1	54	G	G	G	6	20	Private	3.6	Preserve/Prune/TPZ reduction		
A305	PINUSYL	Pinus sylvestris	Scots Pine	1	52	G	F	F	6	20	Private	3.6	Preserve/Prune/TPZ reduction	Some dead broken branches, needles orange	
A306	PINUSYL	Pinus sylvestris	Scots Pine	1	52	G	G	G	6	20	Town	3.6	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
A307	PINUSYL	Pinus sylvestris	Scots Pine	1	37	G	G	G	6	20	Town	2.4	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
A308	PINUSYL	Pinus sylvestris	Scots Pine	1	40	G	G	G	6	20	Town	2.4	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
A309	PINUSYL	Pinus sylvestris	Scots Pine	1	42	G	G	G	6	20	Town	3	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
A310	PINUSYL	Pinus sylvestris	Scots Pine	1	49	G	G	G	6	20	Town	3	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
A311	BETUPAP	Betula papyrifera	Paper Birch	1	MS: 8,8,7,14,15	F	G	G	6	15	Town	1.8	Remove	Multi stem at base	Encroachment into the root zone
A312	TILIAME	Tilia americana	American Basswood	1	MS: 40.38.30	F	G	F	6	18	Town	2.4	Remove	Multi stem at base, leaves fallen	Encroachment into the root zone
A313	PICEPUN	Picea punaens	Blue Spruce	1	18	G	G	G	2	10	Town	1.8	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
A314	PICEPUN	Picea pungens	Blue Spruce	1	23	G	G	G	2	11	Town	1.8	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
												-	Preserve/Prune/TPZ		
A315 A316		Picea abies Ulmus pumila	Norway Spruce	1	26	G	G	G	5	14	Private	1.8	reduction	lean	Encroachment into the root zone
A317		Ulmus pumila	Siberian Elm	1	26	G	G	G	5	14	Town	1.8	Preserve/Prune/TPZ		
A318	POPUTRE	Populus tremuloides	Trembling Aspen	1	29	G	G	G	4	15	Town	1.8	Preserve/Prune/TPZ reduction		
A319		Ulmus americana	American Elm	1	MS: 36,37	F	G	G	6	16	Private	2.4	Preserve/Prune/TPZ	Multi stem at 0.5 m	
A320	BETUPAP	Betula papyrifera	Paper Birch	1	MS: 10,4,4,12	F	G	F	2	8	Town	1.8	Preserve/Prune/TPZ reduction	Multi stem at base, lots of leaves fallen	
	1-2.0.7.			1									readenon		

							Appe	endix	A: Tree	Preserva	ation Ta	bles			
Project:	25th Sideroad Innisfil			Field W	ork Completed By:	Tiffany Wat	ers (ON-	2590A) a	and Avery Tyrel	l, and Carlene F	Perkin				
Date of Fiel	Id Work: October 18-2	20, 26, and 29, 2021		Weathe	r:	Approx 7C,	sun and	cloud							
Tree Conditio	on Assessment Criteria:					Tree Conditio	<u>in:</u>								
TI - Trunk Int	egrity: assessment of the t	runk for any defects or weaknesse	S.			Good (G): tre	e displays	s less than	15% deficiency/	defect within the	given tree asses	sment criteria	(TI,CS,CV)		
CS - Canopy S	Structure: assessment of so	caffold branches, unions and canop	γ			Fair (F): tree o	displays 1	5-40% def	ficiency/defect w	ithin the given tr	ee assessment cr	iteria (TI,CS,CV	)		
CV - Canopy v	vigour: assessment of the I	health of the tree, based on the %	of deadwood, disease, pests & live	crown		Poor (P): tree	displays	greater th	an 40% deficien	:y/defect within t	he given tree ass	essment criter	ia (TI,CS,CV)		
Colour Codin	g Legend:														
	Trees to be Retained			Trees to b	e Removed				Minimum TPZ r	eduction					
	Trees to be Preserved							Trees to be Pru	ned						
Tree #	Code	Botanical Name	Common Name	Qty.	DBH (cm)	ті	CS	cv	Dripline	Height (m)	Tree	Tree	Recommendation	Comments - Health	Comments - Removal/Preservation
									Radius (m)		Location /	Protection			
4224		Do an a la constante de la constan	Damlanan		25	-	-		6	45	Taura	1.0	Preserve/Prune/TPZ	Least sufficient and such as the second s	
A321	POPU_SP	Populus sp.	Poplar sp.		25	F	F		b	15	Town	1.8	reduction	Lean, missing canopy, dead branches	
TG21	POPUTRE         Populus tremuloides         Trembling Aspen         16         10 to						G	G	3	15	Town	1.8	Remove		Encroachment into the root zone
A322	PICEGLA Picea glauca White Spruce 1						G	G	4	15	Private	2.4	Retain		
A323	8 PICEABI Picea abies Norway Spruce 1 49 G G G 5 16 Private 3 Retain														
A324	PICEABI	Picea abies	Norway Spruce	1	43	G	G	G	5	16	Private	3	Retain		



# **B** SITE PHOTOS



Tree # 501 Nearly dead Ash tree near the northern limit of the study area, on the east side of 25th Sideroad



Tree Grouping TG1- Group of White Pine trees located in the northern portion of the study area, on the east side of 25th Sideroad



Tree # A27 – Mature American Elm with co-dominant leaders that has been pruned away from the adjacent powerlines



Tree Grouping TG3 – Consisting of Eastern White Cedar and American Elm



Tree # 511 – Horse Chestnut with dry, browning leaves



Trees # A54 to A57– Group of Scots Pines that have been pruned under powerlines



25th SIDEROAD, INNISFIL - TREE INVENTORY TREE INVENTORY PHOTOGRAPHS



Trees # A28 to A32 – Three Blue Spruces and two Silver Maples at the northeast corner of Lockhart Drive and 25th Sideroad



Trees # A72 to A76 – White Spruces in good condition

Date: November 2021
Project No: 211-02067-00
Appendix B





Tree # 533 – Norway Maple in good condition



Tree #A256 – Prunus sp. In front lawn on west side of 25th Sideroad, in central-southern portion of study area



Tree Grouping TG9 — Eastern White Cedars in fair condition

Tree #A275 – Weeping Mulberry in fair condition with dieback and branch wounds



Tree #535 – Pear sp. In good condition, on Innisfil Beach Road, west of 25th Sideroad



Trees # A285 to A288 – Scots Pines in good to fair condition on the west side of 25th Sideroad, southern portion of study area



25th SIDEROAD, INNISFIL - TREE INVENTORY TREE INVENTORY PHOTOGRAPHS



Trees # A268 to A271 – Black Pines in good condition on the east side of 25th Sideroad



Tree Grouping TG21 – Group of Trembling Aspen at southwest corner of Ninth Line and 25th Sideroad

Date: November 2021
Project No: 211-02067-00
Appendix B