Summary of Comments

A-054-2024 – 1701 Corsal Court



COMMITTEE OF ADJUSTMENT MEMORANDUM

APPLICATION NUMBER(S): A-054-2024

MEETING DATE: November 21, 2024

TO: Toomaj Haghshenas

Secretary Treasurer Committee of Adjustment

FROM: Toomaj Haghshenas

Development Planner

SUBJECT:

Minor variance application A-054-2024 seeking relief from Section 4.3.3.42 (d) Zoning By-law No. 080-13 for an increase to the maximum permitted lot coverage in a Residential 1

Exception 42 (R1-42) zone.

PROPERTY INFORMATION:

Municipal Address	1701 Corsal Court	
Legal Description	PLAN 51M1209 LOT 212	
Official Plan	Residential Low Density 1 (Schedule B1)	
Zoning By-law	Residential 1 Exception 42 (R1-42)	

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Department recommends approval of A-054-2024, subject to the following conditions:

1.) That the variance only apply to the submitted drawings and that any future development of the lands be subject to the Zoning By-law.

Application Number	By-law Section	Requirements	Proposed	Difference
A-054-2024	4.3.3.42(d)	Max lot coverage for 2 storey dwelling shall be 40%	45.56%	+5.56%

REASON FOR APPLICATION:

The applicant is proposing to construct a new second storey deck with an area of $33m^2$ which will result in a lot coverage of 45.56%. The applicant is seeking relief from Section 4.3.3.42(d) of the Zoning By-law which permits a maximum lot coverage of 40% for 2 storey dwellings in the Residential 1 Exception 42 (R1-42) zone.

SURROUNDING LANDS:

North	Single-detached dwellings
East	Single-detached dwellings
South	Corsal Court and Single-detached dwellings
West	Single-detached dwellings

ANALYSIS:

Site Inspection Date	November 8, 2024			
Maintains the	The subject lands are located within the settlement area of Alcona and			
purpose and intent	are designated Residential Low Density 1 on Schedule B1 of the			
of the Official Plan:	Town's Official Plan. The Residential Low Density 1 designation			
⊠Yes	permits single-detached dwellings and associated accessory			
□No	structures. The function of the Residential Low Density 1 designation			
	is to recognize existing low density residential development and seeks			
	to maintain its character.			
	The proposed deck is considered of a reasonable scale proportionate			
	to the lot that meets the intent of Section 10.1.40 regarding building			
	massing respecting the context of the local character.			
	The proposal in general meets the purpose and intent of the Official			
	Plan subject to the proposed conditions.			
Maintains the	The subject lands are zoned Residential 1 Exception 42 (R1-42) in			
purpose and intent	the Town's Zoning By-law 080-13. All development is contained			
of the Zoning By-	within the R1 zone which permits single detached dwelling and			
law:	accessory structures.			
⊠Yes				
□No	Section 4.3.3.42(d) of the Zoning By-Law states that "the maximum			
	lot coverage for a 2 storey dwelling shall be 40%". The intent of the			
	Lot Coverage provision is to ensure adequate amenity space is			
	provided, and that visual massing and bulk are limited in order to			
	respect the local character of the area. It also ensures lots are not			
	overdeveloped and proper drainage and grading is possible.			
	The small state is a second state of the sta			
	The applicant is proposing to construct a 33m ² 2 nd storey deck which			
	will result in an approximate lot coverage of 45.56%, roughly 3.3m2			
	over the maximum permitted coverage. The proposal will not result in			
	a significant loss of amenity space, and the subject lands will remain			
	consistent with the character of neighboring properties. Furthermore,			
	the proposed deck will not have a detrimental impact on drainage or			
	grading.			
	The proposed development complies with all other provisions in the			
	The proposed development complies with all other provisions in the			
	Zoning By-law, including all yard setbacks.			
	Staff are of the opinion the variance is in keeping with the general			
	purpose and intent of the Zoning By-law subject to the proposed			
	conditions, in that it would not negatively impact neighbouring			
	properties in terms of visual bulk and massing.			
The variance is	In the opinion of Staff, the variance is considered desirable for			
desirable for the	appropriate/orderly development of the land, subject to the			
aconable for the	appropriate/orderty development of the land, subject to the			

appropriate/orderly development or use	recommended conditions. The proposed deck will allow for ample amenity space, and will not have a negative impact in neighboring
of the land:	properties. The property will remain consistent in terms of character of
⊠Yes	the neighborhood.
□No	
The variance is	Staff are of the opinion that the proposed variance could be considered
minor in nature:	minor, subject to the proposed conditions, due to the proposed scale
⊠Yes	and location of the structure which will have limited impacts to
□No	neighboring properties, and the proposed development meeting all
	other provisions of the Zoning By-law.

PREPARED BY:

Toomaj Haghshenas, Development Planner

REVIEWED BY:

Steven Montgomery, MCIP, RPP Supervisor of Development Planning



Community Development Standards Branch

MEMORANDUM TO FILE

DATE: November 14, 2024

FROM/CONTACT: Jocelyn Penfold ex 3506 jpenfold@innisfil.ca

FILE/APPLICATION: A-054-2024

SUBJECT: 1701 Corsal Court

<u>Comments to applicant/owner for information purposes</u> (Comments help provide additional information regarding the development of the subject lands to the applicant. Comments are not conditions of approval):

No comments.

<u>Condition of Approval</u> (Conditions of Approval are specific enforceable conditions regarding the subject lands should the Committee of Adjustment approve the application. For example: The applicant/owner shall apply for a building permit for the construction of a new dwelling to the satisfaction of Community Development Standards Branch)

No comments.



MEMORANDUM TO FILE

DATE: November 15, 2024

FROM/CONTACT: Adil Khan ex 3244 akhan@innisfil.ca

FILE/APPLICATION: A-053-2024

SUBJECT: 1701 Corsal Court

<u>Comments to applicant/owner for information purposes</u> (Comments help provide additional information regarding the development of the subject lands to the applicant. Comments are not conditions of approval):

1. No comment.

<u>Condition of Approval</u> (Conditions of Approval are specific enforceable conditions regarding the subject lands should the Committee of Adjustment approve the application. For example: The applicant/owner shall apply for a building permit for the construction of a new dwelling to the satisfaction of Community Development Standards Branch)

1. No comment.

Public comments

A-054-2024 - 1701 Corsal Court

We are writing to formally object to the proposal to expand and construct a deck that exceeds the permitted bylaw limit by more than 40% at the property owned by Giancarlo and Melissa Cece. As immediate neighbors and property owners, we have significant concerns regarding this proposed expansion.

The primary issue with the proposed deck expansion is the invasion of privacy it would create for all neighbors surrounding the property.

The increased size and elevated position of the deck would allow for direct views into our homes and yards, significantly impacting our privacy and quality of life. This is particularly concerning given the close proximity of our properties. Our property lot being much lower than their lot with their walkout basement being higher than our main level which would allow their deck to look directly into our first main level and backward impacting our own privacy.

Furthermore, the proposed expansion exceeds the town's bylaw limits, which are in place to ensure that all property developments are fair and considerate of the surrounding community. Allowing this expansion would set a precedent for future developments that disregard these important regulations.

As a reference, their kitchen on their main level faces our second level into our house. The deck would have direct views into our family room, kitchen, den etc. Which is an extreme invasion of privacy.

Unfortunately, due to the height of their walkout basements being built on a sloped hill against our backyard, we already face an extreme invasion of privacy on a daily basis. Our other neighbours regularly look into our house from their kitchen which is deeply uncomfortable. If we had initially known any of this, we would have never moved here. This is not fair to our family and fellow residents of Rizzardo Crescent.

We more than welcome someone from the Town of Innisfil to come over to our property to examine the extreme height differences and ratios between the properties. Please see enclosed a photo I've attached below of our respective neighbours. Please note their kitchen goes directly over our fence in full view. We already have zero privacy to begin with. We are residents

We respectfully request that the Town of Innisfil Planning Department consider our concerns and deny the proposal for the deck expansion. We believe that adherence to the existing bylaws is crucial for maintaining the integrity and harmony of our neighborhood.

Thank you for your attention to this matter. We are available to discuss our concerns further if needed.

Sincerely,